

1 Q. And Ruth Poulsen, of course, was your  
2 instructor?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And you know that Mr. Kelly works with  
5 Mr. Searcy?

6 A. After that, yes.

7 Q. And you know that Mr. Kelly and Mr. Searcy were  
8 two of the lawyers who were suing Mr. Goodman?

9 A. After that, yes.

10 Q. So you certainly became aware that the Searcy  
11 law firm was the law firm that was seeking a lot of money  
12 from Mr. Goodman?

13 A. I only know that Searcy's law firm was a  
14 representative of the family?

15 Q. No. You knew more than that; you knew that  
16 they were suing Mr. Goodman?

17 A. I don't know any monetary values.

18 Q. You knew they were suing Mr. Goodman?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Mr. Kelly made arrangements for you to go to  
21 Mr. Searcy's office, did he not?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And you had a meeting with Mr. Kelly and  
24 Mr. Searcy at the law offices?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And at that time, they introduced you to a  
2 lawyer named Harry Shevin?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And Mr. Shevin became your lawyer?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And it's been -- Mr. Shevin has been your  
7 lawyer for almost two years?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Have you ever paid Mr. Shevin a fee for his  
10 services?

11 A. No.

12 Q. In the two years, have you ever paid him any  
13 money at all?

14 A. No.

15 Q. You know that Mr. Shevin had formerly been a  
16 member of the Searcy law firm?

17 A. They made me aware of that, yes.

18 Q. At the time that he began to represent you, it  
19 was made aware to you that he had been connected with  
20 Mr. Searcy?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Who's paying Mr. Shevin's fees?

23 MS. ROBERTS: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 MS. ROBERTS: Speculation.