



PENSACOLA SPOTLIGHT



Florida Prosecutor John DuBose

By Joseph Snook
Investigative Reporter

Pensacola, Florida - Building a company under close advisement of an attorney, one would think an entrepreneur could build a successful, thriving company - not if that company is conducting business in Pensacola, Florida! With legally binding contracts on file, a group of Pensacola business owners were arrested and charged with felonies, capable of producing potentially life

Prosecuting the Innocent Florida Style

sentence punishments.

FACTS ABOUT THE COMPANY

The Comfort Club (Realtor Advertising) owned three vehicles that were wrapped with logos and advertisements. There are receipts in evidence for thousands of yard signs. Witnesses state that many signs were produced and delivered to realtors and others. There are hundreds of emails from real estate agents requesting sign activation. Bank statements for the business show expenses of \$260,890.75, while the defendants are accused of stealing \$220,000.

Continued on page 3

Thieves Steal Jury Trial Right Rachor, Skundrick, Smith, Et Al - Guilty



By Edward Snook
Investigative Reporter

Jackson County, Oregon - Article 1, Section 17 of the Oregon Constitution states in plain English: **"Jury Trial in civil cases. In all civil cases the right of Trial by Jury shall remain inviolate."**

The 7th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution

states: **"In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law."**

In clear violation of these edicts, Jackson

County Commissioners John Rachor, Don Skundrick and Dennis "C W" Smith have placed their stamp of approval on the theft of jury trial rights in Jackson County, Oregon. Ignoring their solemn "Oath of Office" to uphold the Constitutional rights of the citizens they represent, they have chosen to steal those rights, by allowing

Jackson County administrators and employees to extort from and wrongfully ruin the


Continued on page 3

Abuse of a 73-Year-Old War Vet

By Joseph Snook
Investigative Reporter

Missoula, Montana - Seventy-three-year old Louis Polinsky of Maxville, Montana has spent over six years fighting a legal battle with one person in his family, a legal battle that despite help from the rest of his family has stripped him of his property rights, and recently landed him a felony criminal endangerment and two violation of a protective order misdemeanor convictions.

In Louis Polinsky's lifetime, he has been anything but a criminal according to documented court transcripts that reflect a seventy-three year record for Louis without any prior criminal history. In fact, Louis had nothing on his record - not even a traffic citation. This apparently didn't sway the State of Montana in their decision to give him an eight year deferred sentence, causing him to lose many of the rights that he fought to preserve



Louis Polinsky


during his military career.

WHAT CAUSED THESE ACTIONS?

On October 4, 1991, Louis purchased 18.6 acres of land which he, with the help of friends and family, eventually developed and turned into Polinsky Recreation Inc., a family corporation originally including his brother Steve, Mother Doris, Son Cal, and himself. As the property's further development continued, Donald (Steve) Polinsky reportedly became concerned with "shares" of the corporation and wanted reimbursed for work that he reportedly hadn't performed. Witnesses also allege that he was over-charging the family corporation (Polinsky Recreation, Inc.) for materials/equipment that he provided. The actions of Steve Polinsky reportedly caused great concern for Louis, which eventually required an Attorney's

Continued on page 14

Oklahoma/IRS Conspiracy? James Parker Tax Case



Atty. Reggie Whitten

Judge Ronald Kincannon


By Edward Snook
Investigative Reporter

Cimarron County, Oklahoma - In 2007, Cimarron River Ranch (CRR) General Manager Sam Parker filed suit against the Commissioners of the Land Office in Oklahoma City, OK (CLO) for violation of its lease

agreement with CRR on some 24,000 acres of grazing lands.

In 2009, then General Counsel for the CLO, attorney Guy Hearst, arguing in Judge Twyla Gray's court for the CLO, made the following statement in open court, which is now part of the court record: "Your honor we intend to run these people out of

Continued on page 2



The Elephant In The JoCo Courthouse

By John Taft
US-Observer Exclusive

Josephine County (JoCo), Oregon - Hundreds of county employees are watching the calendar days and months slip by until July 1st. This will be the first day Uncle Sam stops making O&C payments (money paid counties en lieu of property taxes) on the 68% of Josephine County the U.S. government holds in trust. The Feds hold this land - 1,115 square miles in trust. This is a legitimate debt the feds

Continued on page 15

Urgent Plea: Child Stolen



An independent appeal from Daniel Dossey,

My wife, Linda and I are reaching out to you for help. We have two children, a 19 month old girl who is in perfect health, and our now 3 1/2 month old son, who are in danger of being wrongfully taken by Child Protective Services (CPS).

On November 8th, 2011 we noticed my son was feeling some pain and was running a low grade fever (100.2). I called an advice nurse that my wife's insurance provided. She recommended that we go to the ER because my son was only five weeks old at

Continued on page 10

Even Patriots are Cowards



By Ron Lee
US-Observer

I am so sick of the whining and whimpering heard throughout the country. From conversations in small cafes, to group meetings and town-hall style functions, people gather and vent their frustrations with our current debacle of a government system. Self-proclaimed patriots shake one of their fists while clutching their caramel vanilla quad latte in the other. They rant, then run home to catch the latest or most popular reality TV series. It's no

Continued on page 10



Curt Chancler & Jeanne Wollman
• Jury Rights
Page ... 6



Devyv Kidd
• States Must Fight Fiction of Anchor Babies
Page ... 7



Larry Pratt
• Sheriffs to the Rescue
Page ... 8



Chuck Baldwin
• Left-Right Paradigm Hoax
Page ... 9




Joseph Snook
• Tea Party Occupy Alliance
Page ... 11

Inside this edition

- Subscription Form Page 3**
- In The News Page 4**
- Do we have a right to trial? Page 9**
- 2nd Amendment Issues Page 12**
- Vindicated Page 16**

PRSR, STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Crescent City, CA
Permit No. 14

US-Observer
233 Rogue River Hwy, PMB 387
Grants Pass, OR 97527-5429



We are submitting resolutions through our organization for passage, that if adopted by the entirety of the United States, our country would be in a much better place.

RESOLUTION

Subject: Return the Federal Domain Lands to the States where they belong.

Whereas: After the written copies of the United States Constitution were sent to the 13 Colonies for ratification, it became quite clear the colonists would not ratify the Constitution unless the Bill of Rights were added to the Constitution. Many questions were asked by the colonists about the different Articles of the Constitution. A commission was set up by the farmers of the Constitution to answer the questions put forth by the Colonies' representatives of their government. This commission consisted of James Madison, Jon Jay & Alexander Hamilton. Their writings became known as the Federalist Papers which consisted of 85 essays, numbered 1 through 85, and were printed in several New York newspapers between the years 1787 thru 1788. The Federalist Papers stand as the authoritative interpretation of the U.S. Constitution. Thomas Jefferson called The Federalist Papers the best commentary ever written on the principles of government.

Whereas: The subject arose regarding new States being formed out of the territories and property belonging to the United States. Article 4, Sections 3-1, 2 and Section 4 tell how this would be done, and each new state would be a Republican form of government.

Whereas: The original thirteen colonies which became thirteen States made it clear to the Federal Government that they owned all the land and the natural resources in their states, and that they belonged to the people of said states. They also made it clear that new states coming into the Union would receive these same rights.

Whereas: As the mid-west and eastern States came into the Union, they were given all public (Federal) lands and received the same rights as the original thirteen colonies.

Whereas: When the twelve western States came into the Union, they were denied all public lands. These States are: Alaska, Washington, Oregon, California, Arizona, New Mexico, Nevada, Utah, Idaho, Montana, Colorado and Wyoming. We know that the U.S. Congress violated Article 1, Section 8, Paragraph 17, which states, "To exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases whatsoever, over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square) as may, by Cession of particular States, and the acceptance of Congress, become the seat of the Government of the United States, and to exercise like authority over all places purchased by the consent of the legislature of the State in which the same shall be, for the erection of forts, magazines, arsenals, dock yards, and other needful buildings."

Whereas: Article 1, Section 8, Paragraph 17, shows that no federal lands or forest lands are to be owned by the federal government. These lands belong to the States and if the Federal Government wants any of these lands for the above-mentioned purposes, they must be purchased from the States through the state legislature.

Whereas: The only land the federal government may own is per Article 4, Section 3, Paragraph 2, pertaining to territories. Anything beyond this would require a Constitutional amendment.

Therefore be it resolved: The Cave Junction Chapter of the 9.12 Project, who

are members of the Tea Party, request that the Oregon State Legislature support the States of Utah, and Idaho in their law suit against the Federal Government using the eminent domain law to return all public lands to the States where they belong.

This resolution adopted by the 9.12 Project Members of the Tea Party at its regular meeting held on February 8, 2012.

William D. Waggoner
William D. Waggoner, Chairman
1920 Thompson Creek Rd.
Selma, OR 97538

Tom Acord
Tom Acord, Secretary
P.O. Box 3280
Kirby, OR 97531

The 9-12 mission is to inspire individuals and groups to connect with their communities through education, service and dedication to the 9 Principles and 12 Values to which we have committed.

The 9 Principles

1. America Is Good.
2. I believe in God and He is the Center of my Life.
God "The propitious smiles of Heaven can never be expected on a nation that disregards the eternal rules of order and right which Heaven itself has ordained." from George Washington's first Inaugural address.
3. I must always try to be a more honest person than I was yesterday.
Honesty "I hope that I shall always possess firmness and virtue enough to maintain what I consider to be the most enviable of all titles, the character of an honest man." George Washington
4. The family is sacred. My spouse and I are the ultimate authority, not the government.
Marriage/Family "It is in the love of one's family only that heartfelt happiness is known. By a law of our nature, we cannot be happy without the endearing connections of a family." ~Thomas Jefferson
5. If you break the law you pay the penalty. Justice is blind and no one is above it.
Justice "I deem one of the essential principles of our government... equal and exact justice to all men of whatever state or persuasion, religious or political." ~Thomas Jefferson
6. I have a right to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness, but there is no guarantee of equal results.
Life, Liberty, & The Pursuit of Happiness "Everyone has a natural right to choose that vocation in life which he thinks most likely to give him comfortable subsistence." ~Thomas Jefferson
7. I work hard for what I have and I will share it with who I want to. Government cannot force me to be charitable.
Charity "It is not everyone who asketh that deserveth charity; all however, are worth of the inquiry or the deserving may suffer." ~George Washington
8. It is not un-American for me to disagree with authority or to share my personal opinion.
On your right to disagree "In a free and republican government, you cannot restrain the voice of the multitude; every man will speak as he thinks, or more properly without thinking." ~George Washington
9. The government works for me. I do not answer to them, they answer to me.
Who works for whom? "I consider the people who constitute a society or a nation as the source of all authority in that nation." ~Thomas Jefferson

The 12 Values

Honesty; Reverence; Hope; Thrift; Humility; Charity; Sincerity; Moderation; Hard Work; Courage; Personal Responsibility; Gratitude.

Continued from page 1 • Oklahoma Conspiracy?

Cimarron County", meaning CRR. As appalling and bigoted as this statement was, one would think the CLO's claims would have been thrown out of court on the spot, but they were not. Instead, insider Judge Twyla Gray granted the CLO summary judgment against CRR and CRR's ranch was seized by sheriffs and sold at auction in April 2010, while CRR was appealing Gray's ruling.

OK City attorney Reggie Whitten and the Whitten Newman Foundation was the high bidder at auction, but reportedly did not pay for the ranch because of large recorded mortgages on the CRR property.

In October 2010, Judge Gray's ruling was overturned by the Oklahoma State Court of Civil Appeals and the summary judgment was reversed. Interestingly, after numerous alleged threats and bullying tactics by Hearst against CRR and CRR legal counsel, Hearst abruptly resigned as general counsel for the CLO, just two days after the state court of civil appeals denied the CLO request for appeal of the reversed judgment. It appears Mr. Hearst, who reportedly masterminded the seizure of the CRR property and the rush to judgment in its subsequent sale, knowing it was on appeal, understood the writing on the wall and made himself scarce before the inevitable invitation for a trip to the woodshed by his superiors.

Attorney Whitten however, persisted in his efforts to gain control of the CRR property, despite the fact the CLO judgment was reversed and he and his brother in law Robert Newman had been sued by CRR in June 2011, for trespassing and causing damage to CRR property.

According to a witness, "Whitten's desire for ownership of CRR dates back to 2006, when he approached CRR to purchase the property but was not able to secure the funds necessary. The Whitten Newman Foundation's intended use of the property was in conjunction with the University of Oklahoma and the Sam Noble Museum as an archeological site and to study the Black Mesa rock formations on the property. Both the president and the Regents at the University declined comment on their relationship with Whitten."

On February 8, 2012, in Boise City, OK, Judge Ronald Kincannon, ignoring all CRR's rights under due process of law, awarded Whitten full ownership of CRR property on the now overturned CLO judgment. The horrible abuse of CRR's rights in Kincannon's ruling are so pervasive, it provided a text book example for appeal. CRR is currently appealing Kincannon's ruling to the OK State Supreme Court. It is now CRR's second court

battle to maintain ownership of their property, which should never have been confiscated; first by the CLO and then by Whitten using the CLO's defunct judgment.

The US Observer is now getting a broad picture of the extent the CLO's Assistant Secretary Keith Kuhlman, Attorney Reggie Whitten, Judge Kincannon and others have gone and are willing to go in their attempts to "run these people out" and allegedly steal their property in the process.

If aiding and abetting in this alleged travesty of justice weren't enough, the US Observer has found the above named have reportedly conspired together in a closely coordinated effort to not only convert CRR's property for their own personal use, but destroy CRR and the lives of members of the Parker family at the hands of the Internal Revenue Service.

THE ALLEGED CONSPIRATORS

Randy Ellis, corruption watchdog reporter for The Oklahoman newspaper interviewed CRR owners, managers and state officials, as well as others and published information on this case on the front page of The Oklahoman Sunday paper on March 27, 2011. The following are some highlights from his story:

As early as 2006, a private investigator from OK working with a retired IRS agent was hired by someone to follow the Parkers and document all operations of CRR, their land acquisitions, banking, leases, etc. This information was then taken to the IRS office in Dallas, TX where it was forwarded to the Phoenix office of the IRS and ultimately resulted in a Federal indictment being issued against James Parker, father of Samuel Parker, CRR's owner/manager.

Senior (James) Parker believes it was the Commissioners of the Land Office in OK City who sicced IRS criminal investigators on him and others working with them who did not want CRR doing business in their county. Keith Kuhlman, then director of Commissioners of the Land Office's real estate management division, now assistant to the secretary, denied instigating the IRS investigation, but acknowledged being interviewed about Parker by an IRS agent on April 1, 2008. James Parker also accused Kuhlman of lying in a subsequent interview with an IRS agent.

Documents show Kuhlman told the IRS agent that the elder Parker did all the bidding on the school land leases. "I have personally never, ever bid on any leases", Parker said, adding that all bids were made by either his son or ranch manager Roy Young. "It makes it

Continued on page 13

The Best Mexican Food in Grants Pass!

BUY 3 TACOS GET 1 FREE!

Lupita's
TAQUERIA

Authentic Mexican Food

Located on the corner of "E" & 7th, Downtown
147 E St. Grants Pass, OR

AMERICAN BUILDING CONTRACTORS

LICENSED, BONDED & INSURED CCB. #182777

BUILD IN OREGON!

KEN: 541-660-9660 **FREE ESTIMATES**

RESIDENTIAL • COMMERCIAL • REMODELS

The 9-12 Group Meets at 7 p.m. Every 2nd and 4th Wednesday Each Month

Location: Rogue Community College "Belt" Building in Kirby, OR

BUTLER TRAILER MFG CO.

Proudly serving the Utility and Construction Industries since 1968

www.butlertrailer.com

Randleman, NC Orofino, ID

336-674-7804 or 208-476-5662

Continued from page 1 • Prosecuting the Innocent - Florida Style

Two businesses, The Comfort Club and Get Better Referrals made separate applications to become ADT (Security) dealers and were processed through a strict compliance and ethics review, passing and becoming approved for dealership. A handwritten note in evidence reflects the time required to get the companies operating efficiently would take 12 months.

Cliff and Clint Killingsworth, former business partners of Get Better Referrals, made their complaint to police only a few months into the partnership. Prior to becoming partners, the Killingsworth's attorney Robert Beasley performed his due diligence speaking with Arch Boyd, Attorney for the other owners of Get Better Referrals, as well as GDC (the sign printer company used by the other partners).

Following the arrest of the Killingsworth's partners, the Killingsworth's Attorney Robert Beasley reportedly sent a threatening letter to Freedom Voice, which was part of the business that was used to capture phone calls - demanding that the specific call capture phone account be given to the Killingsworth's.

ATTORNEY INVOLVEMENT

Five of the eight owners of The Comfort Club and Get Better Referrals were charged in July of 2010, with Grand Theft of over \$100K. The three who were not arrested were the complainants, two of whom were allegedly involved in a conspiracy to steal the company from the five accused owners.

The First Judicial Circuit of Florida issued warrants, arrests were made, and excessive bonds were set at \$100k for each defendant. Seeing the charges as being simply explained and dropped, owners Jimmy Rodgers, Frederick Liscoe, Sheila Rodgers and Pepper Rodgers hired Attorney Barry Beroset to represent them as a group. Mr. Beroset charged an initial retainer of \$25,000 and reportedly made promises that he had inside "contacts" (State Attorney Bill Eddins) that he could approach to accomplish a full dismissal of the charges. Another owner, Pamela Fanning hired Attorney Michael Griffith to defend her. Mr. Griffith charged Pam Fanning \$38,000 with allegedly the same promises. Both Beroset and Griffith were hired in July of 2010. Sixteen months and many court appearances later, absolutely nothing had reportedly been done by either of the attorneys. According to witnesses, they both reacted to the charges by laughing and stating, "WTC" (where is the crime).

Feeling somewhat hopeless, the defendants decided to seek help from an outside

investigative news source - the US~Observer. Beroset had been investigated before by the US~Observer for similar behavior that allegedly resulted in his previous client's incarceration. Armed with this information, Beroset and Griffith were fired immediately.

Defendant Jimmy Rodgers is currently represented by Attorney Patrece Cashwell; Sheila Rodgers is represented by Attorney Ken Ridlehoover; Pepper Rodgers is currently represented by Attorney Scott Tatum; Rusty Liscoe is represented by Attorney Kim Skievaski and Pamela Fanning is represented by Attorney David Ackerman. The US~Observer will provide a complete and in-depth analysis following the trial in this case, regarding everything that each attorney did

Lindsey Rodgers and Cliff and Clint Killingsworth, to either incarcerate, intimidate, manipulate and or cheat the innocent defendants.

Mrs. Weyburn was originally listed as a victim and then removed from the victims list by Prosecutor John DuBose. According to sources, now that she is telling all, she has been listed as an unindicted co-conspirator. Mrs. Weyburn received a letter from Prosecutor John DuBose that he wrote on February 22, 2012, stating in part, "...Let me assure that, based on the facts before me at this time, I do not see evidence of your intent to commit the offenses we have charged against the others in this case, which is why no charges against you have been brought." In other words, DuBose

"David Ingram should have advised me that I was talking about a civil investigation, but instead he decided it was criminal...telling me that he knew for a fact that Jimmy (Rodgers) was a crook."

"Lindsay Rodgers (Pepper Rodgers former wife) told me that Pepper had found a new business partner and their name was Killingsworth."


"Cliff and Clint Killingsworth - I only had 1 short meeting with them. Mark (Jeanne's husband) had not met them before and Cliff said they were going for supper at Chili's and could we come along. Mark and I went and drank a coke with them."

"Cliff would then call me and update me." "Cliff said once on the phone that once this was all over, that if they can start up the same type of thing that they would need a realtor and I may think about working with them."

It was never Jeanne's intention to have any of her business partners arrested; she was only seeking a return on her investment into the company that she partly owned. Weyburn was unable to reasonably communicate with other owners of the business at that time and quite simply became angry or ticked-off. While being questioned by Detective Ingram, it was apparent that his deceitful tactics were temporarily successful on Weyburn, just like they were with other labeled victims, who according to our investigation, were never actual victims at all.

WHY DOES THIS FALSE PROSECUTION PERSIST?

In a deposition transcript, this writer discovered that prosecutor John DuBose has already heard testimony under oath that clearly points out this conspiracy. The Comfort Club and Get Better Referrals were both approved ADT (Security) dealers. Dave Eikman, dealer coordinator for both companies, testified under oath in a deposition on May 12, 2011. Eikman testified in this deposition with all defense attorneys present as well as John DuBose. Eikman's testimony reportedly revealed that he was allegedly contacted by the Killingsworth's shortly after the other partners were arrested. He reveals that the reason for the contact was that the Killingsworth's wished to execute the business plan, excluding the arrested partners. To a reasonably intelligent member of society, this would seem to indicate motive for the Killingsworth's to have the other partners incarcerated. The US~Observer asks John DuBose, Russ Edgar and Bill Eddens, directly



and didn't do throughout the course of this case. Read more about Scott Tatum and his "blatant misrepresentation" of Pepper Rodgers below...

PARTNER COMES FORWARD WITH TRUTH

The following statement of facts was received by the US~Observer from Jeanne Weyburn. While reading the statement below, keep in mind that Mrs. Weyburn's initial statement was reportedly the only complaint initially filed and used by Detective David Ingram to initiate the investigation. The letter (in part) clearly lays out a portion of the alleged conspiracy between Detective Ingram,

is actually and very clearly stating that he doesn't have any evidence in front of him at this time, AGAINST ANYONE in this case, because the defendants and Jeanne Weyburn were ALL WORKING PARTNERS...period. And, get this one! According to witnesses, Prosecutor DuBose stated that Jeanne Weyburn was an "unindicted co-conspirator" prior to writing the above referenced letter. This is not only amazing, it is unbelievable! It is very dangerous for all citizens of Escambia County, Florida to have a person such as DuBose possessing the power to prosecute.

Owner/Partner, Weyburn's Letter Regarding the Facts:

Continued on page 14

Continued from page 1 • Thieves Steal Jury Trial Right

lives of Jackson County business owners. Oregon's corrupted judiciary has been a silent, yet willing partner in their conspiracy. Here is the story of one documented, undeniable case:

ALLOW US TO EXTORT \$1,190.00 OR SHUT-DOWN YOUR BUSINESS

For the last 39-years Curt Chancler has owned and operated Curt Chancler Transmission at 6865 Table Rock Road in Central Point, Oregon. In early 2009, Curt and his wife Carolyn applied for a business loan. While they were waiting for loan approval Curt received a call from his banker telling him that while the bank was checking his property status, they were told by Jackson County Development services staff that the county had no record of his having a business at that address, and if he was operating a business at that address he was in violation of the law. The banker informed the Chanclers that they passed the credit check, but with Jackson County's position on their property, their loan had to be denied.

Over the next two-years Curt tried to clear up the county's misunderstanding of his business status. Chancler held the belief that "grandfather" rights still existed in Oregon. In that period he spoke to and debated with Jackson

County Administrator (JCA) Danny Jordan, Development Services Director (DSD) Kelly Madding and Code Enforcement Officer (CEO) Jason Zanni. Getting nowhere, he then contacted current county commissioners John Rachor, Don Skundrick and CW Smith, as well as past commissioners Jack Walker and Dave Gilmore about his case.

Chancler recounted, "Commissioners Smith, Walker and Gilmore all told me, 'don't worry about it, they can't do that, your grand-fathered rights are clear.' CW Smith told me that he would look into it and get back to me. Commissioner Smith told me at a later date that my case was all administrative and he had very little input."

Smith obviously, yet conveniently "forgot" that he and the other commissioners are the top officers of the county and that all the thieves under them are answerable to them...

On or about July 22, 2009, Chancler received a letter from JCA Danny Jordan responding to

issues about his case that Chancler had brought up at a commissioner meeting on July 15, 2009. Jordan stated that the county was correct in that they had no record of his business ever existing and he could certainly understand Chancler's frustrations. Jordan then told him he had to give Jackson County an \$1190.00 non-refundable fee and complete a type II verification of non-conforming use status in order to keep conducting business at his shop-site.

At this point the Chanclers decided they would take a stand against Jackson County's corrupt system of government. Jackson County was clearly raping their rights and attempting to "extort" \$1190.00 from them. In September of 2010, Curt Chancler told CEO Jason Zanni, "Jackson County can kiss my backside; I'm not going to give you unaccountable government con-men the opportunity to extort from me."

On August 16, 2011, the Chanclers were

cited with a Code Violation via the mail, claiming that Curt had violated a zoning ordinance by having his business located at the same address it has been for the last 39 yrs. Chancler stated, "This citation put my case into a court-like setting, in the same room where our previous oath-violating Jackson County Commissioners created this Judicial morphodite called Chapter 294 of Jackson County's codified ordinances." An administrative hearing was scheduled for November 8, 2011.

DETAILING THE CORRUPTED HEARING

Jackson County Hearings Officer Donald Rubenstein was to be the ring master of this three-ring-circus called an administrative hearing. Rubenstein's position as hearing's officer is a re-creation of chapter 294, and he is proud to tell you he works at the pleasure of the county commissioners and has the authority to adjudicate all county violations. He is empowered by the county commissioners with the power to impose civil and criminal remedies for such issues as land use violations, code violations, building and permit violations, and apparently believes he has the authority to deny the citizens of Jackson

Continued on page 13

Get a US~Observer Subscription

All The News You Need To Know

Detach and mail along with your subscription amount

Keep-up on the real news, Subscribe Today!

Includes Shipping!

Subscription Cost: 12 issues for \$29.50!

Buy 12-issue subscriptions for family members or friends and get them for the low price of \$19.50 each!

24-issue Subscription only \$50.00!

US~Observer

233 Rogue River Highway PMB #387 Grants Pass, Oregon 97527-5429

Phone 541-474-7885

Subscription Form

Check One: 12-issue Subscription \$29.50 24-issue Subscription \$50.00 "Family" Subscriptions \$19.50 ea.

Name _____

Address _____

Phone (____) _____ Office (____) _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

E-mail Address _____

If ordering more than 1 subscription, please enclose the recipients' names & mailing addresses, and \$19.50 fee (each) with this form.

Check or Money Order

US~Observer 233 Rogue River Highway PMB #387 Grants Pass, Oregon 97527-5429

In The News

WHAT THE?! SPOTLIGHT



Voter Fraud: Research study suggests major election problems

Jim Kouri
Law Enforcement Examiner

In a typical system, election officials get information about a voter's identity, eligibility, address, and contact information through a form completed at a public agency, such as a county election office or motor vehicles office, or through an unregulated third party voter registration group, such as a campaign or advocacy organization (ACORN, Project Vote).

A major non-partisan research project suggests that the U.S. is fast approaching the status of Third-World Nation when it comes to the integrity of local and national elections.

"Our democratic process requires an effective system for maintaining accurate voter registration information. Voter registration lists are used to assign precincts, send sample ballots, provide polling place information, identify and verify voters at polling places, and determine how resources, such as paper ballots and voting machines, are deployed on Election Day" state Pew Center statisticians.

These systems are plagued with errors and inefficiencies that waste taxpayer dollars, undermine voter confidence, and fuel partisan disputes over the integrity of our elections, according to Pew researchers.

Voter registration in the United States largely reflects its 19th-century origins and has not kept pace with advancing technology and a mobile society. States' systems must be brought into the 21st century to be more accurate, cost-effective, and efficient, according to Pew Center statement.

Research commissioned by the Pew Center on the States highlights the extent of the challenge:

* Approximately 24 million—one of every eight—active voter registrations in the United States are no longer valid or are significantly inaccurate.

* More than 1.8 million deceased individuals are listed as active voters.

* Approximately 2.75 million people have active registrations in more than one state.

Meanwhile, researchers estimate at least 51 million eligible U.S. citizens are unregistered, or more than 24 percent of the eligible population.

The Pew study also found that the paper-based processes of most registration systems present several opportunities for error.

In a typical system, election officials get information about a voter's identity, eligibility, address, and contact information through a form completed at a public agency, such as a county election office or motor vehicles office, or through an unregulated third party voter registration group, such as a

campaign or advocacy organization (ACORN, Project Vote).

These are sent to election offices, where the data often are manually entered and names are added to the voter list. A voter must supply any change to that information, such as a new address, name, or party affiliation, which is usually manually entered and processed by election officials.

The study also identified:

* Approximately 12.7 million records nationwide that appear to be out of date and no longer reflect the voter's current information.

* More than 1.8 million records for people who are no longer living, but have active registrations on voter rolls.

* About 12 million records with incorrect addresses, indicating that either the voters have moved, or that errors in the information on file make it unlikely the Postal Service can reach them.

ILLEGAL ALIEN VOTERS

A study released by the conservative think-tank the Heritage Foundation provides proof that illegal aliens and immigrants with green cards are committing rampant voter fraud in the United States.

Reports of ineligible persons registering to vote have raised concerns about state processes for verifying voter registration lists. States usually base voter eligibility on the voter's age, US citizenship, mental competence, and felon status.

Although individual states run elections, Congress has authority to affect the administration of the elections. The Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) had set a deadline for states to have a statewide voter registration list and list verification procedures, according to Heritage analysts.

For example, the methods used in seven selected states to verify voter eligibility and ensure accuracy of voter registration lists were varied and include relying on registrant self attestation, return mailings, and checking against lists of felony convictions or deceased individuals. Some states, for instance, failed to do any more than ask on their application forms if the registrant was a US citizen. The applicant will merely check off the "Yes" box, but there is no action taken to verify the authenticity of that answer.

"The voter registration officials simply take the word of the registrant with no follow-up," said conservative political strategist Michael Baker.

"Some states that require some backup documentation merely ask for a utility bill or a driver's license — neither of which prove citizenship. In other words, legal or illegal aliens can easily register to vote in local and national elections," warns Baker. ★★★

Another Case Filed Claiming Obama Fails Ballot Requirements

By Bob Unruh
WorldNetDaily

A complaint has been filed asking Pennsylvania state officials to set aside Barack Obama's 2012 presidential candidacy, because he cannot meet the state's eligibility requirements.

It's another case in what is developing into a long list of states in which Obama's candidacy is being challenged legally. A complaint recently was filed in Indiana, and Georgia's dispute already is moving to the appellate level. Cases also are reported to be developing in Mississippi, Alabama and other states.

The Pennsylvania case was raised by Dale Laudenslager and Charles Kerchner, whose previous legal challenge to Obama's term in the White House also was based on eligibility concerns and reached the U.S. Supreme Court, where the justices refused to look at any evidence.

According to a report from the team whose members filed the complaint, Kerchner asserted that after years of research, it "has been determined" that Obama is not eligible to hold the office president because he is "not a natural born citizen" under the requirements of the U.S. Constitution.

Candidates for the U.S. Senate and U.S. House only must meet the requirement of being a "citizen, while a president must be a "natural born citizen."

Kerchner, who works through the Protect Our Liberty website, said Obama is ineligible because of his father.

"Until candidate Obama came along, no president since the founding generation has had a foreign-born father who never immigrated to the U.S.A.," he said. He noted Obama's father never was a U.S. citizen or tried to be one. He returned to his native Kenya after a few years of school in the U.S.

"Candidate Obama was born a British subject/citizen via his foreign-national, non-U.S. citizen father and basic U.S. citizen via his mother and thus was born with dual citizenship and not sole allegiance at birth to the U.S.A.," he said.

"A dual citizen at birth is not a 'natural born citizen of the United States' to constitutional standards," Kerchner's report said.

He said the correct definition of a "natural born citizen" is "someone born in the country from parents that are both U.S. citizens (born or naturalized)."

"The Founders reasoned that unity of citizenship and sole allegiance at birth to one nation and only one nation was a prerequisite to the office of president," his report said. "This requirement was entered into the U.S. Constitution for national security reasons as a 'strong check against foreign influence' and allegiance claims via birth status on the person who would be the commander of our armies."

Kerchner explained that while the accurate assessment is that a "natural born citizen" is the offspring of two U.S. citizen parents, "Obama slipped through the vetting system cracks as to his constitutional eligibility in the 2008 primaries.

"But he will not be permitted to do so in the 2012 primaries," he said.

There remain questions about

person to have parents who were citizens at the time of the birth, she argues.

"Obama's father, Barack Hussein Obama Sr. was a foreign national at the time of his birth and candidate Obama admits in his book, "Dreams From my Father," at page 215, that in mid 1960s 'the government (Kenya) revoked his (father's) passport, and he (Obama Sr.) couldn't even leave Kenya,'" the report said.

"Candidate Obama's father was never an immigrant to the United States, nor a permanent resident; Candidate Obama's father never filed for or attained U.S. citizenship status; therefore, candidate Obama fails to meet U.S. constitutional and Pennsylvania requirements for the office."

"Obama ... has declared he is eligible for the office of president, and, therefore, has the burden of proving to this honorable court the truth of the matter," the challenge explains.

The submission includes an analysis by attorney Mario Apuzzo, who handled Kerchner's court case.

He explained, "The Founding Fathers emphasized that, for the sake of the survival of the constitutional republic, the office of

president and commander in chief of the military be free of foreign influence and intrigue. It is the 'natural born citizen' clause that gives the American people the best fighting chance to keep it that way for generations to come. American people do not have the constitutional right to have any certain person be president. But ... they do have a constitutional right to protect their liberty by knowing and assuring that their president is constitutionally eligible and qualified to hold the office."

Even Obama is aware of the natural-born citizen problem, the submission contends, based on the fact he co-sponsored a Senate resolution regarding Sen. John McCain, determining although McCain was born outside the boundaries of the U.S. while his father served in the military, he is a "natural born citizen" because he was "born to American citizens."

In Indiana, there is an interesting curve in the eligibility dispute, as state officials there recently removed from office the state secretary of state over eligibility issues. ★★★



Obama's citizenship status primarily because he has withheld so many documents that ordinarily would be available about a sitting president. Those include his passport and school records, records from his term as a state lawmaker and documentation on whether he was adopted by his mother's second husband, an Indonesian.

In a letter to the chief clerk's office in Pennsylvania, attorney Karen L. Kiefer submitted a "Nomination Petition Objection."

"In Pennsylvania, the Department of State printed candidates 'packet of required qualifications and instructions' provided by the Pa. Secretary of States' office, Jonathon M. Marks, commissioner and the Department of State website acknowledge the U.S. constitutional authority and state that to be eligible ... for the office of president of the United States, a candidate must be a 'natural born citizen.'

"Obama, who is not a 'natural born citizen' of the United States, has filed a nomination petition" even though the petition requires a

Ron Paul: 'Hemp is a good product'

(The State Column) - Republican presidential candidate Ron Paul drew cheers from supporters in North Dakota Monday while making a pitch for free market economics to be applied to farmers, allowing them to grow alternative crops such as hemp.

"There is no reason, in a free society, that farmers shouldn't be allowed to raise hemp. Hemp is a good product," said Mr. Paul Monday, The Associated Press reports.

It is illegal to grow hemp in the U.S. because of its relation to marijuana, however some states, including North Dakota, have urged the Drug Enforcement Association to allow the cultivation of hemp. The DEA considers hemp to be a controlled substance.

There are several states that issue licenses to cultivate hemp, however it is still considered an illegal practice by the federal government.

However, Mr. Paul argues that hemp does have beneficial uses, the crop is used to manufacture clothing, paper, lotions and other products in Canada and other nations throughout the world.

The Texas congressman's comments about hemp coincide with his call for less government involvement in domestic matters. He has been one of the biggest opponents to President Barack Obama's healthcare law, arguing that it allows too much government involvement in Americans' access to healthcare.

Paul. "We should never be bashful about saying we believe in property rights...and we don't have to give one inch and say that we're careless with the environment, because you don't have a right to pollute your neighbor's property."

Mr. Paul has been a critic of the U.S. drug laws throughout his time in Congress, and along the campaign trail. He believes that drug laws should be set by individual states, not the federal government.

In June of 2011, he co-sponsored a bill with U.S. Rep. Barney Frank, a Massachusetts Democrat, that called for removing marijuana from the list of federally regulated substances.

Last week in the state of Washington, he called for an end to the "war on drugs."

Mr. Paul is trailing his rival candidates in recent national polls of Republican voters, but has vowed to stay in the primary race through the Republican National Convention in August.

★★★

LEGALIZE HEMP It Can Save Our Planet



"The more socialized a system is, the worse the property is, and the worse the environment is," said Mr.

Texas Court of Inquiry Into Prosecutorial Misconduct

By Andrea Lyon
Huffington Post

In Texas, something unusual is happening. A special investigation, headed by a judge, has been convened to decide whether former prosecutor Ken Anders, who is now a judge, hid evidence in a trial in which William Morton was wrongly convicted of his wife's murder and sent to prison for almost twenty-five years.

This court of inquiry will look into whether Mr. Anders as the prosecutor in the case failed to turn over all documents that would have supported the defense of Mr. Morton. It also will determine whether Mr. Anders tampered with evidence and court records, according to an order signed by the chief justice, Wallace Jefferson. Mr. Morton was freed in October after new DNA testing showed he did not kill his wife, Christine, who was beaten to death in 1986. His lawyers said Mr. Anders, the case's lead prosecutor, kept crucial facts from the defense, including statements from the couple's then-3-year-old son that he witnessed the murder and that his father was not responsible, and the fact that Ms. Morton's credit card was used after her death.

The United States Supreme Court made a decision called Brady v. Maryland, nearly fifty years ago, which says that the prosecution is required to turn over to the defense any evidence which might prove a defendant innocent, guilty of a lesser offense or serving of a lesser penalty. What is news about what is happening in Texas is not that there appears to have been substantial due process violations leading to the wrongful conviction and imprisonment of Mr. Morton. There are unfortunately far too many violations like these.

Indeed, the United States Supreme Court has had to reverse another case like this in this very term in Smith v. Cain, the Court reversed (8-1) the murder conviction of Juan Smith because the New Orleans District Attorney's Office had withheld critical evidence that would have been favorable to Smith at his trial. Smith had been convicted of murder in the course of an armed robbery based on the sole eyewitness testimony of Larry Boatner. There was no DNA, fingerprints, or other physical evidence that linked Smith to the 1995 crime. Appellate attorneys later learned that prosecutors failed to disclose reports of initial interviews with Boatner in which he said he could not describe the intruders and had not seen their faces.

Most state courts have discovery rules which require the state to divulge anything exculpatory, the United States Supreme Court has said this is a matter of due process, so why is it that these offenses whether intentional as alleged in Mr. Alexander's case, or inadvertent (for example when a police officer fails to tell the prosecutor about an exculpatory witness). The courts have held that law enforcement is responsible for this information being told to the defense, no matter who actually knew it.

In fact violations of this sort are brought up hundreds of times each year by the defense,



Judge Ken Anderson

and if proved and shown to have been prejudicial, result in reversals of convictions. Why do they happen though? I am sure that these claims are raised inappropriately from time to time, but they are raised so often, that it appears to be a pervasive problem.

Prosecutors have a difficult job. We ask them to do justice, to represent the people of the state or the federal government, and we also ask them to win convictions. We elect state prosecutors in most jurisdictions, and they run on their conviction rates, not on their reasonable doubt rates. The urge to win is a strong one, and the desire to see justice done, which is to convict the person who has been arrested, and to simply not see the other side is something that is easy to understand. So the line gets crossed for the most honorable of intentions; to get the "bad guy".

A Chicago Tribune series on the subject of prosecutorial misconduct of this sort and others was published over ten years ago. It found hundreds of examples and tellingly no consequences for reversals to individual prosecutors. In fact, when there is such a reversal, the prosecutor is not personally named in the court opinion, therefore shielding him or her from public acknowledgement of the misconduct found by the court, and usually from any consequences by the bar association.

As the United States Supreme Court said 75 years ago:

[The prosecutor] is the representative not of an ordinary party to a controversy, but of a sovereignty whose obligation to govern impartially is as compelling as its obligation to govern at all; and whose interest, therefore, in a criminal prosecution is not that it shall win a case, but that justice shall be done. As such, he is in a peculiar and very definite sense the servant of the law, the twofold aim of which is that guilt shall not escape or innocence suffer. He may prosecute with earnestness and vigor - indeed, he should do so. But, while he may strike hard blows, he is not at liberty to strike foul ones. It is as much his duty to refrain from improper methods calculated to produce a wrongful conviction as it is to use every legitimate means to bring about a just one.

Berger v. United States, 295 U.S. 78 (1935).

The reason this court of inquiry is news is that perhaps by taking some action, the Texas Courts are signaling a change in attitude on the part of courts which wish to avoid needless reversals and new trials, the disapprobation of prosecutors by the public and the conviction and perhaps execution of the innocent. I certainly hope so. ★★★

Man forgotten in jail for two years!

(RT.com) - A 58-year-old New Mexico man has been awarded \$22 million in one of the largest ever federal civil rights settlements of its kind. For 22 months, Stephen Slevin was held in solitary confinement and never brought to trial.

He wasn't the victim of the National Defense Authorization Act, which since being signed last month give the president permission to detain Americans without charge. Slevin was arrested in August 2005 on suspicion of driving while intoxicated. From then until May 2007, he was essentially forgotten in a small, padded cell with no natural sunlight in a Dona Ana County, New Mexico prison cell.

Slevin says that prison guards watched him fall apart, mentally and physically, but did not answer his pleas for help for nearly two years.

"Day after day after day, they did nothing, nothing at all, to get me any help," he tells a local NBC station. Prison officials would regularly walk by, but despite his cries they were unresponsive. Instead, he says, they were watched him "deteriorate."

Almost two years after he entered the facility, Slevin was released and now, nearly five years after being freed, a jury in Santa Fe federal court awarded him \$22 million. The man's attorneys tell CNN that shortly before the jury considered a verdict, the country had attempted to settle to the tune of only \$2 million. The jury came to their own amount on Tuesday and said \$22 million was more

appropriate for the "deplorable" conditions Slevin was subjected to.

The county isn't too happy with the decision.

"There was a verdict against the county and we certainly plan to appeal it," county spokesman Jess Williams tells the Las Cruces Sun-News. "We feel we have a strong case, at multiple levels, to pursue the appeal."

"They threw him in solitary and then ignored him," Albuquerque civil rights attorney Matthew Coyte tells CNN. "He disappeared into delirium, and his mental illness was made worse by being isolated from human contact and a lack of medical care."

After being arrested, attorneys say Slevin was subjected to a medical examination, determined to be suicidal and jailed after unable to make bond. As his solitary stay lengthened, Slevin sent over a dozen letters to the jail nurse asking for assistance. The pleas had messages like "I have no slept in days" and "I'm in a deep depression." Coyne says the only response he got, however, was the administration of heavy sedatives. Local station KOB News reports that the nurse that he appealed to wasn't even a nurse — she had barely a bachelor's degree in psychology and no medical qualifications.

Slevin adds to reporters he was continuously denied a dentist and as a result had to remove one of his own teeth during his stay.

"I have never been with or seen a braver man who stood up to these guys for what they did to him," Coyte tells the network. ★★★



Stephen Slevin

1st Amendment Gone?

H.R. 347: Free Speech A Felony

(Salem-News.com) - WASHINGTON DC - Once signed, HR 347 will empower federal agents to arrest and bring felony criminal charges against citizens engaged in political protests anywhere in the USA.

The First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America reads as follows:

"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

At 7:03pm ET on Tuesday, 28 February 2012, our 112th Congress violated this covenant with the American people by voting 399 to 3 in favor of H.R. 347, a bill which breezed through the Senate with unanimous consent and now lacks only corporate fascist puppet President Barack Obama's signature to become law. The three patriots who voted Nay were Paul Broun (R-GA-10), Justin Amash (R-MI-3) and Ron Paul (R-TX-14).

Euphemistically titled the "Federal Restricted Buildings and Grounds Improvement Act" and referred to by our corporate-controlled mainstream media as a "non-controversial bill", a more truthful moniker for HR 347 would be the "First Amendment Rights Eradication Act". As Representative Amash lamented on his Facebook page:

"Current law makes it illegal to enter or remain in an area where certain government officials (more particularly, those with Secret Service protection) will be visiting temporarily if and only if the person knows it's illegal to enter the restricted area but does so anyway. [H.R. 347] expands current law to



make it a crime to enter or remain in an area where an official is visiting even if the person does not know it's illegal to be in that area and has no reason to suspect it's illegal. To show you the extent to which the public is misled and misinformed about the legislation we are voting on, read one prominent media outlet's coverage of the same bill." - Which takes you to the Hill website.

The report mischaracterizes not only current law but also the changes proposed by the bill."

The full text of H.R. 347 is available online at: <http://www.govtrack.us>.

Obviously aimed at the Occupy Movement, these modifications to U.S. Code Title 18 Section 1752 will seriously diminish the right of American citizens to petition their Government for a redress of grievances by outlawing protests where key government officials or other VIP's may be nearby. Federal law enforcement agents will be empowered to bring these charges against Americans engaged in political protests anywhere in the country, and violators will face criminal penalties that include imprisonment for up to 10 years.

Welcome to Fourth Reich Amerika...

NO MORE LEFT. NO MORE RIGHT. TIME TO UNITE. STAND AND FIGHT!

★★★

TSA: Coming To a Highway Near You

By Rep. Marsha Blackburn (R-TN)

One of the great honors of my service to Tennessee is having the opportunity to represent Ft. Campbell which is home to the storied 101st Airborne, the 5th Special Forces Group and the Army's 160th Special Operations Aviation Regiment which piloted Navy SEAL Team Six during the raid on Osama Bin Laden.

Each soldier who calls Ft. Campbell home has gone through some of the most intensive training on the planet which pushed their minds and bodies to their physical limits. In the end, those who make the cut have earned the right to be part of our United States military, are honored to wear its uniform, and are serving on the front lines in the fight against global terrorism.

Unfortunately, the same cannot be said for our nation's Transportation Security Officers (TSO's) who Department of Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano contends are our nation's last line of defense in fighting domestic terrorism. Unlike "hell week" which faces potential Navy SEALs, becoming a TSO requires a basic level of classroom and on the job training. In many cases this rigorous training is less severe than the requirements of becoming a security guard in most states.

Believe it or not, only 7 years ago, TSO's went by a more deserving title, "airport security screeners." At the time, their title and on the job appearance consisted of a white shirt and black pants. This was fitting because airport security screening is exactly what's required of the position. However, this is no longer the case.

In the dead of night, the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) administratively reclassified airport security screeners as Transportation Security Officers. The TSA then moved to administratively upgrade TSO's uniforms to resemble those of a federal law enforcement officer. They further completed the makeover with metal law enforcement badges. Not surprisingly, government bureaucrats at the TSA left out one crucial component during the artificial makeover — actual federal law enforcement training as is required of Federal Air Marshalls.

While TSO's may have the appearance of a federal law enforcement officer they have neither the authority nor the power. If a passenger brings a loaded gun or an explosive device into an airport screening area there is nothing a TSO can do until the local police step in to save the day.

If TSO's are truly our nation's last line of defense in stopping an act of terrorism, then the TSA should immediately end the practice of placing hiring notices for available TSO positions on pizza boxes and at discount gas stations as they have done in our nation's capital. Surely, this is not where our federal government is going to find our brightest and sharpest Americans committed to keeping our traveling public safe. I would contend that we

can surely strive for a higher standard and may want to look first to our veterans returning home from the battlefield.

Interestingly enough, as TSA officials like to routinely point out, their agency's acronym stands for Transportation Security Administration, not the Airport Security Administration. This fact has extended the TSA's reach far beyond the confines of our nation's airports. Many of my constituents discovered this first hand this past fall as those familiar blue uniforms and badges appeared on Tennessee highways. In October Tennessee became the first state to conduct a statewide Department of Homeland Security Visible Intermodal Prevention and Response (VIPR) team operation which randomly inspected Tennessee truck drivers and cars.

VIPR teams which count TSO's among their ranks, conduct searches and screenings at train stations, subways, ferry terminals and every other mass transit location around the country. In fact, as the Los Angeles Times has detailed, VIPR teams conducted 9,300 unannounced checkpoints and other search operations in the last year alone. The very thought of federal employees with zero law enforcement training roaming across our nation's transportation infrastructure with the hope of randomly thwarting a domestic terrorist attack makes about as much sense as EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson's Environmental Justice tour.

In order to help rein in the TSA I introduced H.R. 3608, the Stop TSA's Reach in Policy Act aka the STRIP Act. This bill will simply overturn the TSA's administrative decision by prohibiting any TSA employee who has not received federal law enforcement training from using the title "officer," wearing a police like uniform or a metal police badge. At its most basic level the STRIP Act is about truth in advertising.

As TSO's continue to expand their presence beyond our nation's airports and onto our highways, every American citizen has the right to know that they are not dealing with actual federal law enforcement officers. Had one Virginia woman known this days before Thanksgiving she may have been able to escape being forcibly raped by a TSO who approached her in a parking lot in full uniform while flashing his badge.

Will the STRIP Act solve every problem facing the TSA? Absolutely not. The STRIP Act seeks to expand upon the work of my colleagues by chipping away at an unnoticed yet powerful overreach of our federal government. If Congress cannot swiftly overturn something as simple as this administrative decision there will be little hope that we can take steps to truly rein in the TSA on larger issues of concern.

Furthermore, if Congress fails to act do not be surprised if the TSA gives TSO's another administrative makeover in the future. Only this time it won't be a new uniform. It will be the power to make arrests as some TSO's are already publicly calling for. ★★★

The Power of the Jury!



By Jeanne Wollman & Curt Chancler

Written in 2004, "Jury Rights! Jury Nullification" became an internet sensation and a regularly referenced work regarding the true responsibilities of a jury. Now, eight short years later much has happened that further compromises the jury system.

Our Courts have systematically stonewalled juries when it comes to the knowledge of their right to decide not only the case but the law. Even Black's Law Dictionary (Sixth Edition) defines "Jury" as "A certain number of men and women selected according to law, and sworn to inquire of certain matters of fact, and declare the truth upon evidence to be laid

before them." This definition doesn't say, Jury, go into court, listen to the evidence and then OBEY the judge when he instructs you on to decide the case.

Schools do not teach the Constitution of the United States on even the most rudimentary level, much less the rights and duties of a juror. In fact, when in school did you ever see "juror" on a spelling test?

The majority of candidates for elected office have never read, much less studied the Constitution, before swearing an oath to defend it. Consequently, how can we presume to think they know anything about the rights and duties of a juror? How can we expect them to intelligently make laws that do not further impinge on our rights, when they don't know the basic concepts of our Constitution and the rights and duties listed? And that these rights and duties are imposed on government for our protection not theirs! Rights that we lose, if we are as ignorant as they are of them.

The justice system has become such a quagmire of deceit, power, and graft that it no longer resembles what our forefathers envisioned. Do you know one of the first things a law student learns - there is no right and wrong - just an argument! Do you

know that one of the main precepts of an attorney is - protect yourself! Don't worry about right or wrong. Don't worry about truth and justice. Just remember that as an attorney you'll have to come before this judge and this system many times. The client rarely comes before the court; they have no real knowledge of the law, so all too often they are thrown under the bus by their attorney in favor of his aspirations and livelihood!

The courts are mandated to enforce laws that all too often are not constitutional. They are not to make law; they are to enforce the law as written by our legislatures. Judges all too often have an agenda and as such are far too willing to bend or ignore or re-write law in order to fit that agenda. They have become militant in imposing law on us that falls far outside our constitutional rights and their constitutional limitations.

Today it is a whole new set of legal definitions that we labor under! If you do not believe that, go to a pre-1970 Black's Law Dictionary and see how the definitions of many words have changed. Or follow a law back to its inception and see the amendments and changes made to the original, which wipes out or turns around its intended meaning.

This is why all laws must be written to conform to the U. S. and state constitutions. This is why we must elect representatives that have the courage, knowledge and backbone to bring our country back to Constitutional Law. We must also bring back morality to our country so our legal system defines their practice with truth and justice.

As a juror it is imperative that you know your rights - your duties. These must be learned on your own as the judge is not bound to tell you the truth about your rights or your duties. As a judge he will tell you what he wants even if it runs counter to the truth. That is why it is your responsibility to know that you have not only the right but the duty to judge not only the case but also the law as it pertains to the case you are hearing.

Be prepared to stand alone when not submitting to the dictates of a judge. Even other jury members may oppose you. Be prepared to educate them. To be selected to a jury is one of the most important positions a citizen can enjoy. It should take precedence over all other concerns. It is a position of importance that few other countries afford their citizens.

★★★



Jury Rights! Jury Nullification

By Curt Chancler & Jeanne Wollman
US-Observer Exclusive (2004)

Too often Americans forget they were guaranteed a republican form of government with democratic elections in order to protect our sovereignty as citizens. But even with this protection our elected representatives occasionally make laws that are not well received by the electorate.

Fortunately our forefathers anticipated that problem. They gave us a clear, basic, and forthright method of correcting unacceptable laws. It is jury nullification. Jury nullification allows the citizen to judge the law as it applies to the case.

A citizen's last bastion of justice is a trial by a jury of his peers. The judiciary has eliminated, eradicated or simply ignored many rights but a jury still may judge both the law and the case before them. To accomplish this they must ignore a small portion of the "judges' instructions to the jury," and in so doing all jurors will know in their hearts they are doing the right thing. They will also live with a much cleaner conscience.

For whatever reason, the government is turning more frequently to the administrative courts in civil cases to determine the law. These administrative courts negate most judicial safeguards. Most particularly, the right to appeal the hearing officer's or tribunal's decision and the right to a jury trial are not part of administrative law. This practice goes against everything our Constitution was founded upon.

In the Oregon system, judges would like you to think that only the judge can dictate the law. However, the jury has the ultimate responsibility and duty to determine the case and the law. Is it any wonder that the legal system would

like to eliminate, or at least control juries?

Research has established that the intent of the signers of our Constitution regarding juries was that they were to judge the law as well as the case. Oregon's Article 1, Section 16 states that jury decisions must be made "under the direction of the Court as to law" but the jury will determine the "law as well as the facts."

In Oregon, judges are not required to inform the jury of their rights and they do not. Lawyers are not allowed to bring up jury nullification and they do not. That does not make jury nullification any less legal or applicable in coming to a decision.

"Jury stacking" is a common tactic of Oregon judges and attorneys who select only those who promise to abide by the direction of the court as to the law. This would be much more difficult if those who appeared for jury duty knew their rights, and more importantly their duty, as jurists.

Uninformed juries, in fear of the consequences that the judge might mete out, cave-in to the coercive actions of the presiding officer. This would be less likely to happen if schools were teaching the rights and duties of the citizen jurist as part of their curriculum.

Schools could teach of leaders such as John Adams, Thomas Jefferson, John Jay and Alexander Hamilton who spoke out on the rights of the jury. Citizens should know that in 1972, Justice Byron White and Justice Thurgood Marshal spoke out on the duty of the juror to judge the law as well as the case before them. Also, South Carolina in U.S. v. Gaudin (1995) ruled that juries are empowered to determine relevance and materiality.

U.S. vs. Dougherty, 473 F 2nd 1113, 1139, (1972) states, "The pages of history shine on instances of the jury's

exercise of its prerogative to disregard instructions of the judge..."

Citizens must understand that they are NOT obliged to set aside their conscience and their beliefs to follow the direction of a court official. The fact that the court chooses to ignore the rights and duties of the jury in no way obligates the jury to obey a judge who instructs them to perform against their conscience.

As far back as 1894, Alexander Hamilton admonished that, "Jurors should acquit even against the judge's instruction...if exercising their judgment with discretion and honesty they have a clear conviction that the charge of the court is wrong." Today, knowledge of this statement is even more important as we see juries blindly obey agenda-driven, pro-prosecutor judges.

Justice Byron White in Duncan v. Louisiana, 391 US 145, 156 (1968) stated, "Providing an accused with the right to be tried by a jury of his peers gave him an inestimable safeguard against the corrupt or overzealous prosecutor and against the compliant, biased, or eccentric judge."

It is the duty and obligation of anyone called for jury duty to know his/her rights and to exercise them. When you come before a court for jury selection you may simply state, "Your Honor, I know it is my duty as well as my right to judge both the case and the law."

In many states this will undoubtedly be cause for dismissal but you will have alerted others in the courtroom of their rights and duty. Hopefully, this would help curtail judges and the legal profession from controlling and directing the outcome of cases that are to be placed in the hands of a jury. It would also alert the lawmaking body of our government that they cannot continue to make laws that infringe on the rights of Americans.

We as citizens must remember that our forefathers gave us the ultimate veto power to use when the government fails us. The jury is our last protection against tyrannical law and an out of control government that only pays lip service to our rights and their oath of office. It is the duty of the jury to correct these mistakes.

The trial jury has more power than Congress, the President, or even the Supreme Court because they have the final veto power over all wrongful "acts of the legislature," in both criminal and civil proceedings.

It is also the responsibility of the juror to insist that his/hers vote be respected by all other members of the jury. The juror is not there to agree with the majority, but to act as a qualified judge to see that justice is done. Each juror must step forward to protect all innocent Americans from unjust laws.

It is strongly urged that every citizen log on to the many internet sites which describe and define jury rights and the rights of jury nullification. Remember, if you do not exercise your rights you will lose your rights!

★★★

WHY WE NEED JURIES!

Pennsylvania Judge: Muslims Allowed to Attack Those Who Insult Mohammad

By Mark Whittington
YAHOO Contributor



Judge Mark Martin

Jonathon Turley, a law professor at George Washington University, reports on a disturbing case in which a state judge in Pennsylvania threw out an assault case involving a Muslim attacking an atheist for insulting the Prophet Muhammad.

Judge Mark Martin, an Iraq war veteran and an apparent convert to Islam, threw the case out in what appears to be an invocation

of Sharia law.

The incident occurred at the Mechanicsburg, Pa., Halloween parade where Ernie Perce, an atheist activist, marched as a zombie Muhammad. Talaag Elbayomy, a Muslim, attacked Perce, and he was arrested by police.

Judge Martin threw the case out on the grounds that Elbayomy was obligated to attack Perce because of his culture and religion. Judge Martin stated that the First Amendment of the Constitution does not permit people to provoke other people. He also called Perce, the plaintiff in the case, a "doofus." In effect, Perce was the perpetrator of the assault, in Judge Martin's view, and Elbayomy the innocent. The Sharia law that the Muslim attacker followed trumped the First Amendment.

Words almost fail.

The Washington Post recently reported on an appeals court decision to maintain an injunction to stop the implementation of an amendment to the Oklahoma state constitution that bans the use of Sharia law in state courts. The excuse the court gave was that there was no documented case of Sharia law being invoked in an American court. Judge Martin would seem to have provided that example, which should provide fodder for the argument as the case goes through the federal courts.

The text of the First Amendment could not be clearer. "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof-" It does not say "unless somebody, especially a Muslim, is angered." Indeed Judge Martin specifically decided to respect the establishment of a religion, in this case Islam.

That Judge Martin should be removed from the bench and severely sanctioned goes almost without saying. He clearly had no business hearing the case in the first place, since he seems to carry an emotional bias. He also needs to retake a constitutional law course. Otherwise, a real can of worms has been opened up, permitting violence against people exercising free speech.

It should be noted that another atheist, dressed as a Zombie Pope, was marching beside the Zombie Muhammad. No outraged Catholics attacked him. ★★★



Ernie Perce



What rights do you have as a juror that THE JUDGE WON'T TELL YOU?

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS! **fija** www.fija.org 800-TEL-JURY
FULLY INFORMED JURY ASSOCIATION

States must fight legal fiction called 'anchor babies'

By Devvy Kidd
US-Observer




"If anyone's going to be deported, it's going to be you! ... Get out! We are the future. You're old and tired. Go on. We have beaten you - leave like beaten rats. You old white people, it is your duty to die. Right now, we're already controlling those elections, whether it's by violence or nonviolence. Through love of having children we're going to take over." --Augustin Cebada (pictured above), information minister of Brown Berets, militant para-military soldiers of Aztlan shouting at U.S. citizens at an Independence Day rally in Los Angeles, California.

It is astounding how many elected public officials support illegal aliens who sneak into our house like criminals in the night, stealing jobs that belong to Americans and bankrupting cities and hospitals for "freebies" they have no right to receive, backed up by federal judges who should have been removed from the federal bench decades ago. In April 2008, the very popular, New Jersey Gov. Chris Christie, prostituted himself during a speech for votes at an event sponsored by the Latino Leadership Alliance of New Jersey by assuring his audience illegal aliens were not really here illegally, but were simply "undocumented."



Chris Christie

The 'unofficial' number of unemployed Americans is close to 25 MILLION. Those "undocumented" criminals Gov. Christie refers to are illegally holding 11.5 MILLION jobs that belong to Americans and naturalized citizens. Shame on you, Gov. Christie for thumbing your nose at our federal immigration laws and encouraging even more criminals to break into our country. Roll out the welcome mat. Unemployment in New Jersey is holding at a steady 9.3%. Gov. Christie apparently doesn't care if jobs go to illegal aliens instead of legal citizens in his state. After all, they're simply "undocumented". Well, what can one expect from someone who believes the hoax called 'global warming'? Yes, Christie is on the record saying 'climate change' (the repackaged global warming baloney) is caused by human activity.



Rick Perry

Gov. Rick Perry here in Texas is also pro illegals despite his carefully crafted stump speeches. Almost six years ago, Perry signed a bill into law to steal from the taxpayers of Texas to give tuition breaks to illegal aliens attending state universities. "Students" who have no right to even be on U.S. soil, much less take classroom seats in universities. Voters beware: Rick Perry is not who you think he is; Perry's actions speak louder than his rhetoric.

What exactly is this legal fiction called an 'anchor baby'? The theory is that a child born on U.S. soil of an illegal alien, regardless of country of origin, automatically becomes a U.S. citizen.

Nothing could be further from the truth.

Those favoring illegal aliens sneaking into our country use the Fourteenth Amendment as justification for babies born on U.S. soil by mothers who have no legal right to be in our country. They are wrong.

Amendment XIV - U.S. Constitution

Section 1. "All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the state wherein they reside. No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

"Anchor babies" is simply a bastardization of the Fourteenth Amendment. Illegal means illegal. If the parent(s) have no legal right to be on US soil, their offspring are also illegal and must be deported if

there is going to be any respect for our laws. I'm sorry for children of illegals, but they should blame their parents for sneaking across our borders, making them criminals in the eyes of the law.

The single largest number of illegal aliens (not "immigrants") sneaking across the border are from Mexico. What does their Constitution say - and thank you to Mothers Against Illegal Amnesty for bringing this to light last year:

Mexican Constitution - Chapter II

Article 30. Mexican nationality is acquired by birth or by naturalization:

A. Mexicans by birth are:

I. Those born in the territory of the Republic, regardless of the nationality of their parents:

II. Those born in a foreign country of Mexican parents; of a Mexican father and a foreign mother; or of a Mexican mother and an unknown father;

Quoting one of the experts on the destruction of the illegal invasion and 'anchor babies', Frosty Wooldridge, wrote in one of his columns, January 6, 2011:

"April 4, 1997, President Sedillo of Mexico stated that "We will not tolerate foreign forces dictating and enacting laws on Mexicans. Our contention is that we are not enacting or dictating any laws on the Mexican illegal alien children born by illegal alien females in the US territory. Further, he states that "he was going to use all diplomatic and legal forces at his disposal to protect Mexicans living in the United States."

What Sedillo was saying is legally correct:

Illegal aliens who smuggle themselves across our borders from Mexico are Mexican citizens and so are their off spring according to their Constitution, which is why he vows to protect "Mexicans living in the United States." All 'anchor babies' whose mother is a Mexican citizen should be stripped of US citizenship because legally the child never had the legal right to acquire such status.

Consider these words from Edward J. Erler, Professor of Political Science, California State University, San Bernardino, in his column (I hope you take the time to read all of it): Birthright Citizenship and Dual Citizenship - Harbingers

of Administrative Tyranny:

"In sum, this legacy of feudalism—which we today call birthright citizenship—was decisively rejected as the ground of American citizenship by the Fourteenth Amendment and the Expatriation Act of 1868. It is absurd, then, to believe that the Fourteenth Amendment confers the boon of American citizenship on the children of illegal aliens. Nor does the denial of birthright citizenship visit the sins of the parents on the children, as is often claimed, since the children of illegal aliens born in the U.S. are not being denied anything to which they have a right. Their allegiance should follow that of their parents during their minority. Furthermore, it is difficult to fathom how those who defy American law can derive benefits for their children by their defiance—or that any sovereign nation would allow such a thing."

He further points out: *"But in any case, to say that children of legal aliens are entitled to citizenship is one thing; after all, their parents are in the country with the permission of the U.S. It is entirely different with*

illegal aliens, who are here without permission. Thus repeal of the current policy of birthright citizenship for the children of illegal aliens would not require a constitutional amendment."

Prof. Erler hit it out of the ball park: Children born on US soil of an illegal alien parent (or two parents) regardless of country of origin have no "right" to U.S. citizenship.

'Anchor Baby' Constitutional Amendment to Face Scrutiny in Congress - December 26, 2010 (FOX News):

"In a matter of weeks, Congress will go from trying to help young, illegal immigrants become legal to debating whether children born to parents who are in the country illegally should continue to enjoy automatic U.S. citizenship. Such a hardened approach -- and the rhetoric certain to accompany it -- should resonate with the GOP faithful who helped swing the House in Republicans' favor. But it also could further hurt the GOP in its endeavor to grab a large enough share of the growing Latino vote to win the White House and the Senate majority in 2012. Legislation to test interpretations of the 14th Amendment as granting citizenship to the children of illegal immigrants will emerge early next session."

There is a rancid bill in Congress right now that should be rejected: H.R. 140 - Birthright Citizenship Act of 2011. Why? Because it includes citizenship for illegal aliens who serve in our military. The Department of Defense is so hard up for IED fodder for the on-going illegal invasions and occupations of Iraq and Afghanistan, they - with the blessing of the Outlaw Congress - have allowed illegal aliens to enlist. Currently there are about 29,000 illegal aliens serving in our military who should have been deported the minute their legal status was determined. Rep. Steve King's bill rewards violating our immigration laws. That is not a solution, it's a magnet for more illegals to invade this country and enlist. The military provides a lot of perks paid for by you and me. I'm sick of being fleeced to reward criminal behavior.

Forget about pandering to the "Latino vote." I am so sick of racist politics shoved down our throats by minorities. This isn't about anyone's race. It's about our laws and the financial destruction heaped on this country as a result of allowing nearly 25 MILLION illegals into this country. Pile on the number of

Devvy Kidd

"That liberty [is] pure / which is to go to all, / and not to the few or the rich alone."

Thomas Jefferson



Investigative journalist Devvy Kidd is well known for her comprehensive columns on today's most pressing issues.

Devvy's Archives CD includes her best selling booklets *Why A Bankrupt America* (1,653,000 copies) and *Blind Loyalty* (700,000 copies on vote fraud).

Plus 900 files, columns and the trial files of Vivian Kelliems.

The cost is \$24.95 for this CD.

www.usobserver.com

Knowledge is Power

children birthed by illegal female aliens and the numbers become staggering. According to a 2010 study released by the Pew Hispanic Center, 79% of the 5.1 million children of criminals (illegal aliens) were born in the U.S. That means just over 4 million babies have been given U.S. citizenship when they should have been deported immediately with the mother. Since their mother had no right to be on U.S. soil, the baby has no "right" to U.S. citizenship.

That might sound hard nosed, but the carnage caused by illegals since Ronald Reagan opened the door to this massive invasion back in 1986 would fill a hundred newspapers. The financial destruction to the states of the Union heaped on the backs of hard working Americans and those who came to America legally and went through the process, runs into the hundreds of billions of dollars -- every year. Those 4 million illegal alien babies are recipients of your paycheck in the form of welfare and stealing the limited resources for education in the states.

Last year alone, the cost to taxpayers of LA County was whopping \$600 MILLION dollars in welfare for children of illegal aliens. I guess it doesn't bother the people there getting fleeced in taxes to pay for all that welfare because they continue to elect governors who refuse to lock down the border and sympathizers of the invasion across the southern border of California to their legislature. Remember the quote at the top of this column.

The Republicans controlled Congress from January 1995 - December 2007. For eight of those years, there was a Republican president, Bush, Jr. This mess regarding 'anchor babies' should have been taken care of once and for all: Regardless of country of origin, if you drop a baby on U.S. soil and you are an illegal alien, your baby does not automatically become a U.S. citizen. Both will be deported. The easiest way to detect this is from hospitals reporting the legal status of a woman giving birth. Oh, can you hear the screeching already from the American Communist Lawyers Union (ACLU), La Raza and other pro illegal, anti-American groups that illegals have rights!

No, they don't and the ACLU,

LaRaza and other militant anti-American groups can yell and jump up and down all they want. Either we get serious about deporting illegals or continue to suffer even more destruction to our country. Lawlessness breeds lawlessness. The U.S. State Department and the Department of Homeland Security support giving U.S. citizenship to illegal babies. Not surprising considering who heads up both of those cabinets.

It's long past time the states of the Union stood up to the General Government on this issue. The states of the Union birthed the Constitution which created the U.S. Congress. They still hold the power.

As Prof. Erler stated above, there is no need for Congress to pass a constitutional amendment to deal with the issue of the 'anchor baby' scam. Art. IV, Section 4 of the U.S. Constitution says: "The United States shall guarantee to every state in this union a republican form of government, and shall protect each of them against invasion; and on application of the legislature, or of the executive (when the legislature cannot be convened) against domestic violence."

Last year Arizona's state legislature tried to pass a bill dealing with this issue; it didn't pass. Many, including myself, were opposed to the language in the bill as it would have changed the language of the Fourteenth Amendment and that can only be done by a constitutional amendment. However, the states can write legislation that succinctly spells out the myth of 'anchor babies' being protected by the Fourteenth Amendment and their right to turn the mother over to ICE to be deported right along with the baby.

That won't happen unless the people of each state make this a major issue with their state legislature. That means all of us put the heat on our state reps and senators. It doesn't matter if your state legislature is out of session, send that snail mail letter and remind them next year is an election year. It's time to replace gutless legislators with real men and women who have the backbone to stand up to Washington, DC., the ACLU and America hating groups like LaRaza.

If you have access to a computer, I recommend you read my columns at www.devvy.com regarding illegal aliens. ★★★

GOLD

AmericasGoldVault.com

"Devvy Endorsed"

Gold: Ageless beauty and the one precious metal that never loses its value - unlike paper currency.


Get the facts and protect your assets today.



Call Harvey Gordin
602-228-8203
Tell him Devvy sent you!

COMMENTARY Your Right to Speak Out

Obama Getting Re-elected Could Be Best Thing for Country



By Lorne Dey
US-Observer
Exclusive

For conservatives that are dismayed by the likes of Mitt Romney being the Republican nominee in November, I want to give you some hope.

First of all, Romney doesn't have a snowball's chance in Kenya of beating Obama in the general election - There are simply not enough principled conservatives or Evangelical Christians who will prostitute themselves and vote for him to displace the baby killer from Africa. Without an overwhelming majority from those two groups behind him, Romney can't get enough votes to beat any incumbent Democrat, even if he is a walking disaster. Add to that the sad fact that too many Democrats would rather vote for the devil himself than any Republican.

So what if no other "electable" conservative rears his or her head to overshadow Romney between now and the election and Obama is indeed re-elected?

Let me remind you that estimates of the number of people who wanted to break away from England prior to the Revolutionary War ranged from three to ten percent of the population of the American colonies.

You might think that those aren't large enough percentages from a group that only numbered approximately two and a half million people in 1776 to contend with and beat the most powerful empire of the world - but they did.

That's about 75,000 to 250,000 patriots who were willing to risk all to fight to throw off the shackles of the British Empire. The Continental Army itself was never larger than 24,000 men.

The population of the U.S. today is a roughly 312 million. If those same eighteenth century percentages were applied to today's population, it

would mean that anywhere from 9 to over 30 million people could be pushed too far and motivated into changing things in our government at all costs. That's a pretty big force - far greater than the comparatively paltry sum of liberal ideologues who are currently in power. You can also bet that most if not all of those are part of the at least ninety million gun owners in this country.

If Obama is indeed re-elected, it's also a good bet that he will push most of these patriots to the point of mass action.

Take what happened a few weeks ago when members of Congress threatened to regulate the Internet through their Stop Online Piracy Act (SOPA). Thinking people knew damn well that SOPA had little to do with online piracy and everything to do with government control and censorship. They deluged Washington with their phone calls, faxes, and emails causing the bill's sponsors to at least temporarily back down in fear of greater repercussions.

It turned out that SOPA hit too uncomfortably close to home for a lot of people who rely on the Internet for much of their news and entertainment as well as a healthy portion of their goods and services.

What we had was a minor, yet still peaceful revolt.

You can be certain that when Obama is re-elected, he will attempt to institute many other things that will affect people's life styles as well and cause a similar if not greater response from the part of the tax-paying populace that doesn't happen to be on the government dole. Hell, Obama is going to have to legalize polygamy in his next term just so families can

afford to pay his higher taxes that are slated to start kicking in next year.

What Obama and the other "progressives" are foisting upon the honest segment of the American populace is arguably far worse than what the British did to the American colonists in the seventeenth hundreds.

Four more years of Obama and his nation-killing policies along with his disregard for the highest law of our land may just be what motivates enough law-abiding citizens to decide to not take it anymore and get rid of not only many of their so-called representatives in Washington but also the status quo that has turned our Federal government into little more than a den of thieves.



Sheriffs to the Rescue



By Larry Pratt
NewsWithViews.com

Richard Mack is well known as the first of eventually six sheriffs to take on the Brady Law. And, much to the delight of pro-gunners around the country, the Supreme Court agreed with Mack in 1995 that the federal government did not have constitutional authority to force state officials to conduct background checks.

Mack is no longer in office, but that has not stopped him from staying involved in promoting constitutional issues. He is now taking the lead in informing sheriffs of the authority they have as the chief law enforcement officer in their counties. While this has come as a surprise to many federal officials, the Constitution is quite specific in terms of what they are allowed to do. Almost all the powers which "We the People" have delegated to the federal government are listed in the 18 clauses found in Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution.

Sheriffs find that when they warn the feds not to conduct an unconstitutional police action against one of their citizens, the feds back down. Sometimes the feds threaten to arrest them, but when the sheriff's response is "game on," the stalemate ends with the feds backing down.

I recently attended the first conference of the Constitutional Sheriffs and Peace

Officers Association. Nearly 100 sheriffs attended, in addition to many police chiefs and some county councilmen. One of the encouraging things about the conference was that while many of the sheriffs there were not initially willing to risk a confrontation with the feds, they are now willing to do so after hearing the testimonies from many of their fellow constables. It was very educational for them to hear how different sheriffs have "faced down" the feds.

For example, Tony DeMeo is a former Jersey City cop who ended up getting elected as Sheriff in Nye County, Nevada. He became a pivotal player in his county by protecting citizens from an outrageous abuse of power that was perpetrated by the Bureau of Land Management.

Pro-gun activists will remember the stalwart Rep. Helen Chenoweth of Idaho who served in the 1990s. Well her husband, Wayne Hage leased acreage for his ranch from the BLM. Hage had ownership of the water rights -- as long as he used the water at least once during the year.

Later, the BLM decided that Hage did not belong on the land, and so they began to confiscate his cattle. After the second theft, Hage enlisted DeMeo's assistance, which helped him deal with the BLM

when they arrived a third time to confiscate even more cattle. Sheriff DeMeo confronted the BLM agents and backed them down to the point where Hage no longer had to worry about the BLM's larceny anymore.

After Hage's death, his son won a lawsuit begun by his dad against the federals, and now a court ruling has established that the Hage family can live without

fear of their government stealing their property.

It is clear that there are many sheriffs who are willing to protect their counties, but do not know what they can -- and should -- do. The Gun Owners online book store carries Richard Mack's little book *The County Sheriff, America's Last Hope* - gunowners.org/store/books. If your sheriff is not aware of his powers - and his responsibility - please give him a copy of this book.

We can put the federal Jeanie back in the bottle, one sheriff at a time. ***



Sheriff Mack

The Man Who Would Be King



By Dr. Robert Owens

In 114 BC, Rome was a democratic Republic. Representatives elected by popular vote filled the Senate, and the Senate ran Rome. The Empire was conquered in the name of the

Senate and the People of Rome, symbolized by the ever present SPQR seen on every Roman standard in every gladiator movie you have ever watched.

At the beginning of the first century BC sovereignty in Rome resided in the People, which may have inspired the most famous attribution of sovereignty in American History, "We the People" as the proclaimed authors of the Constitution. The power in republican Rome resided in the people. In a revolution that was by then legendary, they had banished their kings and established themselves as a free republic where the people assembled together to elect the Senators, the magistrates, and made all major decisions such as whether or not to go to war. And far beyond mere words much of what was early Rome was the target the Founding Fathers shot at when they established our later day republic.

And yet, by 14 AD, when Augustus died, popular elections were but a memory. Power was no longer located in the people, or in their assemblies, or their representatives in the Senate, instead power was concentrated in an Imperial Palace which was guarded, unapproachable, and foreboding.

How did this revolution occur? What led the freedom loving Romans to lay down their liberty and put on the yoke of oppression? Later Romans who longed for the freedom their ancestors had discarded pointed to 133 BC when a rich young man named Tiberius Gracchus bought his way into the office of Tribune, an executive position one step below magistrate that

was meant to protect the interests of the poor. Gracchus used his office to curry personal power by giving bread and circuses to the people paid for by the public treasury. Eventually he hit on a very popular plan. He proposed to seize the lands of the rich and give them to the poor and he imposed this under his own authority, an authority he lacked under the Roman constitution. Later when he put himself forward for a second term in opposition to custom and law he was assassinated by a group of Senators.

Several generations of corrupt politicians using the same formula sought to buy personal power and popular adoration by looting the public treasury to give the people ever increasing benefits. Finally another rich young ruler arose by the name of Julius Caesar. He rose through various public offices eventually gaining the office of Proconsul or Governor of Gaul (France). He knew that to be a true Roman hero and paramount leader he needed to be a successful conqueror, so that is what he spent the next nine years doing. He conquered not only all of Gaul but much of central Europe even leading the first Roman expedition to Britain. While he accomplishing this he sent back well written dispatches to Rome which were published and widely distributed recounting his bravery and skill as a general.

All of this was too much for his political rivals in Rome. They gained enough votes to have him branded a traitor which meant little in Gaul where he had absolute power thanks to his well trained and veteran army. His rivals promised his arrest and conviction if and when he returned to the capitol. However Caesar was not to be denied so he compounded the accusations of his treason with a treasonable act leading his army across the Rubicon River to Rome.

With his troops behind him Caesar secured all power and after



many adventures to suppress the forces loyal to the old order he had a compliant Senate elect him dictator for life. As his grasp of power solidified it became clear his rule would be the end of the republic. Breaking completely with tradition he began to wear purple, the color of royalty in public. Finally when his chief Lieutenant, Anthony, publicly offered him a crown it was too much and just as with Gracchus one hundred years before, Caesar was assassinated by a group of senators.

Caesar was followed by Augustus, the first Emperor of Rome who was never called Emperor. He was instead known by the republican sounding title of princeps, or first citizen. Kings were hated in Rome. The traditions of the Republic ran deep. Both Gracchus and Caesar had been killed because people thought they would make themselves king. The genius of Caesar's nephew and successor was that Augustus made himself king in all but name while keeping the outward forms of the Republic. The elections were rigged, the Senate only did what they were told, and the people were kept happy by giveaways from the public treasury and kept in line by a smothering blanket of laws and regulations.

Fast forward to the 20th century and two other would be kings include Mussolini who decreed that calendars in Italy should begin again with October 29, 1922 the date he assumed power as the first day of year one. He proclaimed the Fascist Era was the dawn of a new age. And Hitler who said his National Socialist Nazis would reign for a thousand years.

In America today we have a leader who campaigned on a platform of cutting taxes and regulations. A man who when the economy melted down said if he didn't solve the problem in three years he wasn't worthy of a second term. In a classic example of bait and switch he walked over his promises to restore American greatness and suddenly announced five days

"Congress has not unlimited powers to provide for the general welfare but only those specifically enumerated.
... A wise and frugal government... shall not take from the mouth of labor the bread it has earned."
--Thomas Jefferson

COMMENTARY

Left-Right Paradigm is a Hoax



By Chuck Baldwin
NewsWithViews.com

Both, Liberals and Conservatives are Selling Out America.

There may have been a time when the words "conservative" and "liberal" meant something, but that time is no more. Today, "conservatives" in government are doing as much to promote Big Government, as are "liberals." In fact, if one were to honestly evaluate the twelve years of the George Herbert Walker Bush and G.W. Bush administrations, one could say that "conservatives" even eclipse "liberals" in promoting Big Government. Under the two Bushes, the federal government expanded (and even exploded) to levels that for-real liberal Democrats could only dream about.

Let's get realistic. Just because a politico says he or she is "pro-life," or "pro-family," or "pro-marriage," etc., does not mean that they are going to do anything to help save the country. Come on, folks; think! "Conservative" Republican administration appointments have dominated the US Supreme Court since the infamous Roe v. Wade and Doe v. Bolton decisions that effectively legalized abortion-on-demand. And we are no closer to overturning Roe and Doe after almost forty years of electing "pro-life conservatives" than we were the year after the Roe and Doe decisions were rendered. And for the first six years of the 21st Century, "conservative" Republicans dominated the entire federal government, and still the Roe and Doe decisions stand.

And when it comes to marriage and family, there is not a darn thing that Washington, D.C., can do to "save" it. Washington can no more "save" the family than it can "create" jobs! Washington is not God--the attitudes of most Washington politicians and national newscasters notwithstanding.

Herein lies the real problem: both "conservatives" and "liberals" expect Washington, D.C., to be the panacea for all the nation's ills. Oh, the left and right come to Washington expecting different solutions, but they both come to Washington, D.C., for the solution. Both "conservatives" and "liberals" expect the federal government to "fix" America. But, in this regard, Ronald Reagan spoke with great profundity when he said, "Government [especially the federal government] is not the solution to our problem; government [especially the federal government] IS the problem!"

Both "conservatives" and "liberals" look to the federal government to establish and enforce their parochial agendas. "Liberals" look to Washington for the establishment of "social justice," while "conservatives" look to Washington for the establishment of "military justice." The net result is the federal government keeps getting bigger and bigger regardless of who controls the White House, Congress, or Supreme Court.

"Conservatives," whether Christian or not, are just as culpable in the expansion of Big Government as are "liberals." In fact, when it

comes to the expansion of military adventurism, "conservatives" are the most culpable. And when it comes to the ever-burgeoning police state that is currently taking shape in the United States, "liberals" and "conservatives" are equally to blame. Let's face it: both "conservatives" and "liberals" are in the midst of an intense and illicit love affair with Washington, D.C.

The way many "conservatives" have embraced the candidacy of Rick Santorum is a prime example of how skewed their understanding of historic, constitutional principles really is. Santorum goes around talking about "pro-life" and "pro-family" issues, while his voting record in the US Senate demonstrates that he is just another conservative-talking, big-spending politician. He has voted for so many Big-Government bills and endorsed so many Big-Government toadies that it is incredible that anyone could refrain from laughing out loud when he calls himself a "conservative."

By the same token, it is absolutely incredible that "conservatives," especially Christian "conservatives," could so quickly and firmly reject the candidacy of the man who most likely is going to go down in history as the greatest congressman to ever sit in the US House of Representatives, Congressman Ron Paul of Texas. Patrick Henry was probably the greatest governor that the United States has ever seen; and Daniel Webster was probably the greatest senator that the country has ever seen; and I am personally convinced that history will regard Congressman Ron Paul as our greatest US House member. For his entire political career, Congressman Paul has stood like a rock for the fundamental principles of liberty and constitutionalism, and, yet, many "conservatives" reject his Presidential candidacy. They would rather support Big-Government toadies like Rick Santorum, Newt Gingrich, or Mitt Romney. Egad!

Have people not wondered why the direction of the country has not changed one iota regardless if "conservatives" or "liberals" are running things? One would think that at some point people would wake up to the fact that until we start electing civil magistrates who take their oaths to the Constitution seriously, and who truly understand the nature of our federalist form of government, and who are truly committed to the preservation of the Bill of Rights, and who truly understand and appreciate the jurisdiction and authority of the states, nothing is going to change in this country. Nothing! And in 2012, there is only one man in the Presidential field who has an intellectual grasp and moral commitment to all of the above: Ron Paul. I will say it yet again: it will not matter to a tinker's dam if anyone other than Ron Paul is elected President in 2012.

Furthermore, if Americans do not wake up to the importance of electing State governors, attorney generals, senators, legislators, and county sheriffs who understand that their primary responsibility as a State office holder is to safeguard the rights and liberties of the citizens of their respective states FROM THE USURPATION AND OVERREACH OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, our liberties are lost--no matter how many "conservatives" we elect!

Ladies and gentlemen, face it: the left-right paradigm is a hoax! It creates false conflicts and masks true problems. It caters to the increase of socialism on the one hand and fascism and corporatism on the other hand. It saps our strengths and augments our weaknesses. It blinds our eyes to the warning clouds above us and deafens our ears to the sounds of the sirens around us. It turns friends into adversaries and adversaries into friends. It dulls our senses and sharpens our illusions. It

removes true courage and gives false hope. It sullies our character and shines our betrayal, and it puts shackles around our feet and greases the skids of oppression.

Instead of worrying about whether one professes to be a "conservative" or a "liberal," we should be concerned about whether the people we vote for understand the fundamental principles of liberty and constitutional government and have the moral character to defend such principles at all costs. Furthermore, we should be concerned that both "conservatives" and "liberals" look to government for the solutions to our problems instead of looking to the Natural Law principles of our Creator. Yes, Martha, the Golden Rule really does matter--even in Washington, D.C.

P.S. Readers should know that I have withdrawn my candidacy for Lieutenant Governor of the State of Montana. Here is my press release in this regard:

"Yesterday (Sunday, February 12), I called gubernatorial candidate, Bob Fanning, and told him that I was withdrawing my name as a candidate for Montana's Lieutenant Governor. When Bob and I announced my candidacy for Lieutenant Governor here in Kalispell, I said, 'We are in this race to win.' I realized then that, in order to be competitive, there were certain criteria that had to be met. I knew our campaign had to raise a sizeable sum of

money; and knew that we had to put together a quality campaign organization. Since making our announcement back in November, this campaign has accomplished neither task. Therefore, it is more than obvious to me that this campaign has no chance of being successful in the primary elections. And I have too much respect for the people of Montana than to ask them to support a candidacy that cannot at least be competitive. People who believe in a political campaign enough to invest their time and hard-earned money in it have a right to expect that the candidates they support can deliver a successful campaign.

"I believe that my departure from the Governor/Lieutenant Governor race will allow me to continue to pursue whatever opportunities the people of Montana would believe were best suited for those abilities and attributes that I may have in our mutual pursuit of liberty and constitutional government. And, no, I will not endorse a gubernatorial candidate at this time.

"I wish Bob Fanning much success in his future endeavors and am honored that he would ask me to be his Lieutenant Governor running mate."

I want to thank readers for their support and prayers for me and my family as we continue to fight for the principles of liberty and constitutional government in our country, and especially in the great State of Montana.



By Nathan Wentz
Defense Lawyer

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed...

The right to a jury trial should be, and was designed by our founders, as one of the most fundamental rights bestowed upon the citizenry to keep the government under our control.

When a defendant exercises their right to a jury trial it is the jury that ultimately decides whether the defendant is guilty or not. In these circumstances a great deal of control is relinquished by the government and put into the hands of the citizenry.

A jury decides what evidence is credible. For instance, if a witness provides testimony the jury decides whether that testimony is trustworthy. If the evidence is deemed credible then it will be considered. If not it should be disregarded.

Once the evidence has passed the "credibility test" the jury must then decide, using only the evidence they've deemed credible, whether this evidence is sufficient to prove the allegation.

The executive branch, for several reasons, does not favor relinquishing this control to a jury. Though I believe this to be a common sense assertion, I offer December's letter regarding plea-bargaining as some evidence to support this claim.

Separately, the jury could engage in what is commonly referred to as jury nullification. Jury nullification is, generally speaking, when a jury returns a not-guilty verdict even though they believe a defendant has violated the law.

The result of jury nullification is to nullify the laws passed by the legislature, which of course is not favorable to the legislature or the special interest groups lobbying for criminal sanctions. I speak of jury nullification only so that you know what it is. You should know there have been cases where jurors are prosecuted for

contempt of court if there is evidence they've engaged in jury nullification. I believe this just further corroborates my message.

The point I am making here is that the right to trial by jury takes the control of the outcome of a criminal case away from the government and gives it to the citizenry. This right, though it has its limitations, is a keystone in maintaining liberty and imposing restraint on government operations.

The government recognizes this and, just like many of our other rights, this right is being attacked. I offer the following examples of how this right is slowly being dismantled:

1) Most states, if not all, have labeled low level criminal offenses as infractions or violations. These offenses, the government tells us, are not crimes and thus, a person is not afforded the right to trial by jury.

2) In Arizona the right to a jury trial for 1st time DUI offenses was recently abolished. The primary rationale given was that if it was not punishable by jail then there should be no jury trial right.

3) The Delaware Constitution actually contemplates the elimination of jury trials for misdemeanors.

These are just a few examples. Why would we relinquish this fundamental right? Do you trust our government so deeply as to entitle them to be judge, jury, and executioner? If so, what practical difference is there between our Constitutional government and a monarchy?

Except for perhaps the right to vote, I contend the right to jury trial is, arguably, the most fundamental right memorialized under our Constitution to restrain our government. Of course there are limitations and, to a large degree, the practical affect of the right is currently suffering some dysfunction. However, a more educated society is the answer; not abolition of the right.

Know your rights. Stand for freedom. ★

NEW US MILITARY SURPLUS AND MORE
6TH & "J" - OPEN 10AM - 5PM - MON. THRU SAT
**AIRSOFT • GUNS & AMMO • CAMPING
SURVIVAL • KNIVES • SWORDS MODELS
BDUS • AND MORE**
**FOR MORE INFORMATION
CALL 541-476-5442**

WINDOW & DOOR
Serving All Of Southern Oregon
CB-163591
WINDOW • DOORS • SKYLIGHTS
WE INSTALL
SALES • SERVICE • REPLACEMENT • REMODEL
• Vinyl / Wood & Aluminum Windows
• Skylights & Suntunnels
• Patio Doors & Custom Doors
• Fiberglass & Wood Doors
• Visit Our Showroom
Contact Us Today For A Free Estimate
Grants Pass Medford/Ashland
541-474-4499 541-857-5944

Continued from page 1 • Urgent Plea: Child Stolen

the time and when they're that young and running a fever you need to make sure they're okay. We went to the Willamette Valley Medical Center in McMinnville, Oregon to see a doctor. The triage nurse did an evaluation, noting that there were no bruises, swelling, or any external abnormalities, and the nurse even notes that all four extremities are moving strongly and equally. The ER Doctor performed a hip check and saw that the legs were pulled up tightly towards the abdomen. He explained that sometimes when babies have abdominal pain they'll pull their legs in tightly. Note that when the doctor manipulated my son's legs he let out a very loud scream, quite unlike we had heard before. The doctor ordered an x-ray to view my son's abdomen and hips. My wife left because we had quickly run to the ER, and while there our son dirtied his outfit and my wife had to find replacement clothes. I stayed and the x-ray technician came in to take some x-rays. At one point while we were moving my son, the x-ray technician looked at me in a confused way and asked if the doctor had mentioned anything about my son's left leg. I replied that he hadn't. The technician left after taking his initial x-rays, and came back a half hour later to take specific pictures of the leg. He then came in again one more time to take a clearer picture of the leg. My wife had returned and the Doctor came in and told us that our son had a spiral femur fracture (We later learned that it was actually an oblique fracture and not a spiral). The doctor informed us that as protocol he had to notify the authorities and an investigation would take place. (It was also noted that he had gaseous distention from the x-rays)

We spoke to the police officer that came to see us and explained that there hadn't been anything traumatic that happened. Nobody dropped him, no one fell with him, nothing happened. While we spoke to the police officer we mentioned we came in because our son was having abdominal pain, thought to be bad gas, and a low grade fever. We mentioned that he had no bruises, (which was confirmed by a nurse that was in the room at the time) and we told him we had no idea there was a fracture. My son was only mildly more fussy than normal, and as long as he was eating he was okay. The night before we also noticed a fever and we went to the store so he could have baby Tylenol and Gripe Water (Natural medicine to reduce gas). This seemed to work as it lowered his temp and calmed him down.

Doernbecher Children's Hospital in Portland, Oregon was notified. Their EMT crew and a pediatrician arrived and wrapped up my son's leg. My son was transferred there in an ambulance with my wife. I had to run home and pick up some things for my son, and then I drove out there myself. While in the ambulance my wife was able to hear a pediatrician and an EMT discuss that they thought this was abuse and that it was only protocol to bring a parent. When they arrived at Doernbecher, a complete trauma work-up was completed on my son. It was noted again that there were no bruises, swelling, lesions, abrasions, and that my son was content beyond his leg. It was noted that my son had extra cartilage on his skull but it was not trauma related. My son showed no symptoms of being in any pain or distress, though he did have a low grade fever. My wife had been advised to ask the ER doctor what could cause such

injuries in an infant and was told that "It was either child abuse or you're lying (about there being no accident)." My son was sent to have a head CT done immediately. His results came back perfectly normal. There was no bleeding on the brain, no hemorrhaging, no skull fractures, or signs of trauma. My wife requested that a new doctor be placed with my son after the encounter with the previous doctor, and was given an advanced resident to look over my son. A full blood work-up was conducted, including a spinal tap, to check for infection because of his low grade fever. My son, minus the blood drawing, remained content, fed well, and soothed easily. It was very hard for the doctors to draw blood from our son, and it took quite a lot of time for them to finally get anything, causing my son great pain in the process. On the spinal tap, one of the residents missed the spinal fluid and hit a vein, causing blood to become mixed in with the spinal fluid. They also took new x-rays while in the Doernbecher ER.

We were eventually moved to an inpatient room. They tried to draw more blood during the night, but they didn't tell us what the blood was being drawn for. We were also informed not to feed our son throughout the night so they could reset the bone, and he cried through the night in hunger pains. Despite all this, he wasn't given any medication because he was still soothing himself. The room was very cold at night, yet my son maintained a high temperature and was even sweating. In the morning a new nurse came in and said we could feed my son. They took a full skeletal survey in the morning where they noted the an acute left femoral diaphysis angulated oblique fracture, Age-indeterminate bilateral distal femoral, probable left and right proximal tibia metaphyseal corner fractures, probable age-indeterminate left proximal humeral metaphyseal corner fracture, probable partially healed proximal right tibia and fibula fracture, and healing bilateral rib fractures (Probably three). Note the only fully confirmed fracture has been the left femur fracture and an age-indeterminate posterior rib fracture. This is also the point where they say it was on oblique fracture and not a spiral. A doctor came in to look at my son's eyes. She saw no retinal hemorrhaging, but noticed a mild discoloration in his eyes. She called in a superior who also noted that there was nothing wrong with his eyes, but that he did have mild discoloration. Again, my son was only 5 weeks old at the time. My son had seen many different doctors in this time, all noting that he looked healthy and happy. My son had been in for a 1 week check, 2 week check, at about 3 1/2 weeks he was circumcised, he had visited the hospital twice because he was jaundice, and Linda's midwife had also seen him during one of her post birth visits the day before we went to the hospital.

They attempted to draw more blood, and continued to be unsuccessful, taking a full second day to gather the blood needed to send out for the Osteogenesis Imperfecta test and other tests. He was still on no medication until

they decided to put an IV in his head where they used morphine. They took an abdominal CT because my son had high liver enzymes, but his CT came back perfectly normal. He was put into a Pavlik harness to help heal his femur fracture. A CPS worker, a detective, and a police captain, interviewed me, my wife, and my wife's parents. Everything we have said has been consistent. There hasn't been any trauma, and certainly no abuse. There have been no criminal charges filed either.

We had to appear in court for an emergency hearing. I had already obtained a lawyer but my wife hadn't had time yet. During the hearing the judge seemed to want to give us both of our children back. But child protective services lied and said there was liver damage and listed all fractures as fact, rather than possibilities. The judge reluctantly had my son put into a "Medical" foster home, while allowing us to keep our daughter (As long as we were in sight and sound of Linda's parents with our daughter).

It has been almost 3 months so far, and CPS continues to hold our son, and is attacking our parenting skills and the well-being of both of our children. We have 3 1-hour visits a week with our son, and in the last month we discovered that our son now has an umbilical hernia. We asked that he be taken to see a doctor, but they informed us they had another appointment set up for his two month check and will have it looked at during his two month check. We have since discovered that our son does have low calcium, low vitamin D, and high alkaline phosphatase. We have looked into many different possibilities, including OI, Rickets, TBBB, and other diseases that could have caused this. The OI test came back negative, but there are other options. Our son is very big. At his two month check he weighed 15lbs and was 23 1/2 inches tall. Linda also had a rough pregnancy. We discovered that Linda has a retroverted uterus, and that my son was born at 41 5/7 weeks by induction with pitocin. My son had severe shoulder dystocia at birth, and had an initial apgar score of 3, taking a full minute to even breathe. During late pregnancy my son was unable to move much, but certainly was noticeable due to his large size. My son only moved at night when Linda was able to lay flat, especially during the third trimester. His birth weight was 9lbs 7oz and 21 1/2 inches.

We started the Judicial Hearing Process on January 5th. The hearings were held on the 5th, 6th, 9th, 10th, 11th, and 18th. The problem with this process is that it is held in the Juvenile Courts and rather than using "Beyond a reasonable doubt" they use "Preponderance of Evidence" which refers to balancing the evidence, and whichever side is over the 50% mark wins the case. We clearly should have had more than enough evidence to prove that we had not abused our son. Firstly, it was made clear that the hospital had lost blood tests, including a very important vitamin D, phosphorus, ionized calcium, and the PTH tests, all vital for diagnosing Rickets. They

also noted elevated liver enzymes related to bone breaks which were elevated even higher after our son was in foster care, after declining to a normal level at the hospital. We had Dr. David Ayoub testify that he could see from the radiological evidence that my son had neonatal rickets. Dr. Ayoub even had images taken directly from my son's X-rays and CT scans to show these signs. The state provided numerous medical witnesses, and each of these witnesses, except Dr. Valvano (A Child abuse Expert who has been a doctor for since 2005), had admitted that if my son had a medical condition of bone fragility that it could explain his fractures. It was also noted that my son had no bleeding on the brain, no subdural bleeding, no retinal hemorrhaging, no bruising, no swelling, no internal organ damage, no brain damage, no cuts or lesions, and no tissue damage. The only thing noted was the unexplained bone fractures. It was even noted in court that there was very likely a new rib fracture after our son was placed in foster care. In fact, there was even a physician's assistant who noted that if my son did have bone fragility he could have accidentally broken my son's ribs during a routine well baby check. Dr. Shaver, The ER doctor who saw my son when we brought him to the hospital still can't give a clear answer about whether or not he broke my son's femur, and when asked on the stand said "I don't believe I did." rather than a simple yes or no. The pediatrician who is currently seeing our son was even confused why they were calling this abuse when there clearly were other things that needed to be looked at first, and recommended that my son see and endocrinologist. There were two doctors who testified that the fact that all of the normal signs of abuse were missing should have dramatically lowered the likelihood of abuse on the differential diagnosis. But the State recalled two of their medical witnesses to discredit Dr. Ayoub's work simply because his current study hadn't been published in a peer reviewed journal yet. So the Judge erred on the side of caution and adjudicated my wife and I of abusing our son. We also have a Daughter who lives at home with us who is in perfect health. Both our children have never missed a doctor's appointment, and we have numerous friends that see our kids regularly who are mandatory reporters. We didn't abuse our son, and they shouldn't be able to take our son like this without reasonable cause. The next step that my attorney has said is to try and get my son home at the disposition hearing and try and find doctors to see our son in person to prove we weren't lying from the start. We are looking for people who are willing to help us in this manner with advice and support. This is a Nightmare for us.

We need our story passed on. So please spread the word about this huge injustice to all your friends and family. We cannot let this error go unnoticed. Even the CASA worker is against us because their theory is that if you cannot explain what happened then it must be abuse. Cases like this are happening all over the country, even in Canada and Europe. There is a movement starting to fight for a change. Please help fight for those families torn apart by false allegations. Spread the word, and research disease such as rickets, neonatal rickets, and osteopenia. Thank You.



The Dossey's Son

Continued from page 1 • Even Patriots are Cowards

wonder we are losing our country when too many are too comfortable to be bothered to give up the small conveniences they have. In other words, they are all cowards.

Don't get me wrong, it's great that people are at least seeing that there is a problem with a government that has grown beyond its means into an entity that prescribes almost every aspect of our living; that continuously places more debt on the backs of its citizens; that makes laws that only apply to the people, and not to those who "make" the laws. But, where is the real outrage? Where is the voice of the many? Why do people still subscribe to the right vs. left ideology when it is so obviously controlled? Fear. I firmly believe people, even many of the outspoken, are, when it comes right down to it, afraid to stand for what is right.

I recently attended a town-hall meeting in Grants Pass, Josephine County, Oregon, where the topic of discussion was the federal government's bid to abscond with yet another 70,000+ acres of county land - to be turned into a "protected" zone. Protected from what, you might ask? People. And, from the use of that land for whatever purpose the county deems fit in order to generate any kind of income in this gloomy economic time.

Like many areas around the country, Josephine County faces some tough times. The federal government is going to stop paying subsidies for land that used to generate county revenue through the O&C Act of 1937. In essence, the Feds are financially starving Josephine County (among others), while also ensuring the county can never be self sufficient by taking even more land from it, and locking it away from the county's profitable use. Josephine County faces an absolute devastation of its public safety department, among many other needed services. But hey, the forest will be protected!

During the town-hall meeting, there were some great presentations regarding this boondoggle, yet the audience was notably quiet throughout. While there were a few sighs and scoffs, there was no clear reaction of disdain. It seemed as if the people knew that what the Feds were proposing was abhorrent, yet figured it's just one more thing the government is doing, and nothing can be done about it. They acted as if they were the perpetual victims, and by doing so, they are and will forever be!

Where is the action? Sure, there were some ideas floated about filing suits and playing the court game, or trying to get legislation passed through, but there was nothing suggested

that showed the true resolve of the people.

Here is my idea... Just log the land. Mine the minerals. Build the roads. Just do it! What can they do?

We have a Constitutional Sheriff in Josephine County named Gil Gilbertson. He is a great man who cares deeply for the people he serves. Gilbertson has already stated that he would stand in the way of the federal government if they were trampling on his citizens constitutional rights, and I believe he will! If they don't have the backing of the local law enforcement, then they don't have much recourse.

We need to learn from the environmentalist who chain themselves to trees or sit in the roads. We need to be proactive! We need to do!

But, even the most staunch patriots, like Gilbertson, waver under the pressure the Feds can apply. It has been reported that Gilbertson was threatened by the Feds after a letter he wrote to them regarding jurisdiction went public. My sources tell me that Gilbertson's wife's assets were threatened - that if he pushed, they'd push back. Even though I know he will stand by his people, Gilbertson's support of some of the more provocative methods to generate revenue for the county has seemingly wavered.

How wonderful it would be if the people rose up in support of this man! He does it for us! With the support of his people, I know Gilbertson would do just about anything he could to ensure the Constitution reigns supreme in this county.

I hope the people don't let him down if he ever needs us. I won't!

Nationally, do something courageous! Take a stand! Don't be too afraid to act for the sake of losing belongings or perceived freedoms. Get over all of the rhetoric and seek to understand your fellow citizen. You'll find that right or left, everyone is afraid of where America is now. We can all agree that concentrated power in the hands of the few is not what America was founded upon!

And, please, remember had our founders feared the repercussions of their actions, we would still be a part of England. Their oath is as applicable today as it was then, "We mutually pledge to each other our lives, our fortunes and our sacred honor."

The time is approaching that we must show a united resolve that the People are the power. I'm not calling for armed conflict, instead the people can influence a great many things through a united financial stance. We have the purchasing power. If you don't like something, stop paying for it! If our communities need to generate revenue, just do it. Our inaction will assuredly be our undoing.

Will you stand, or will you run? The answer to that question will determine if America survives or not, and whether we can once again proudly say that we are truly the home of the brave.

Writer's Note: To those of you who fight daily for the continuance of the Republic, thank you. You know who you are, and you know that without the people getting involved, the fight is over.

There is a new group on Facebook called "The Tea Party Occupy Connection." Like them and lets work together! Down with King George! ***





David Gilmore
Building Contractor

New Homes • Remodeling
Room Additions • Garages
Barns • Decks

Phone: 541-592-2904

FREE ESTIMATES & GOOD SERVICE

LICENSED - BONDED
INSURED

"SERVICING SOUTHERN OREGON FOR OVER 30 YEARS."

CCB# 10904
Email: dndgilmore@frontiernet.net

Tea Party + Occupy Movement = ONE DEMANDING VOICE

By Joseph Snook
Wake Up America
Southern Oregon - wuaso.com

My speech at the first ever co-sponsored Tea Party/Occupy Movement Rally. A rally to repeal certain provisions of the 2012 N.D.A.A. (National Defense Authorization Act).

ARE WE TERRORISTS? Do you consider yourself a TERRORIST?

According to the Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) 'See Something, Say Something' campaign and the F.B.I. - you could be a terrorist if:

1. You use a video camera while talking to police officers.
2. If you wear a 'Hoodie'
3. If you drive a van
4. If you write on paper
5. If you use a cell phone, and the list goes on...

It is extremely important that everyone is educated on what exactly has happened to our Freedom.

In 2001, the Authorization to Use Military Force Bill was passed by Congress, stating that the President has authority under the Constitution to take action to deter and prevent acts of international terrorism against the United States. My opinion initially, is that this power is essential to safety of the United States, but this power has to come with absolute necessity - followed by Congress going into session to 'Declare War' which was not part of that bill.

Also in 2001, The Patriot Act was passed which aided the destruction of more rights granted to U.S. Citizens under the U.S. Constitution, particularly freedom of speech and the right to petition the government for a redress of grievances. Both of these bills were signed by former President George W. Bush.

On May 26, 2011, President Barack Obama signed a four-year extension of three key provisions in the USA PATRIOT Act: consisting of roving wiretaps, searches of business records (the 'library records provision'), and conducting surveillance of 'lone wolves' - individuals suspected of terrorist-related activities not linked to terrorist groups. - Wikipedia



Vogel Plaza
Medford, Oregon

Now, bringing all of these bills together, coupled with the National Defense Authorization Act, there is literally nothing that can stop someone in OUR GOVERNMENT from labeling any one of us as a TERRORIST. If you ask me, the people who drafted these bills and the people who passed them - ARE THE BIGGEST TERRORISTS of all. Who is to blame for all of this? I say look in the mirror and you will find your answer.

NOT ANY LONGER. No more left, no more right, no conservative, no liberal. NOT ON THIS ISSUE. Today is the day that WE STAND TOGETHER - UNITED TOGETHER TO RALLY FOR SUPPORTING THE REPEAL OF NDAA - TO SAY WE AREN'T GOING TO STAND BY AND WATCH OUR FREEDOMS GET TRAMPLED.

If we aren't clear in our message and

cowards WE'VE ELECTED who have not honored their oath to UPHOLD AND DEFEND the United States Constitution.

The Tea Party is here, The Occupy Movement is here, and we are here together to demonstrate to the world that we can work together. We aren't all radical as defined by government, we aren't all racists, and we aren't all here for handouts - we are here because it's our duty as freedom loving citizens of the United States of America.

It's time we stand together on EVERY issue we possibly can - for the sake of our future and future generations to come. We might be terrorists by definition of our current administration, but if you ask me, by my standards, we are America's greatest Patriots. Each and every one of us here today to rally for the repeal of NDAA is an absolute Patriot in my book because WE care enough to fight for our freedom.

Listen closely to this quote:

"In the end, we will remember not the words of our enemies, but the silence of our friends." This quote is important to me, not only because of how it reflects current times, but more-so because it is what motivates me to give my all, day in and day out. When you leave here today, please ask yourself this question:

Am I the silent friend, or is my energy driven beyond silence?"

*Editor's Note: This was the first co-sponsored rally between the Tea Party and Occupy Movements. Strangely enough, on the same day, clear across the country in Worcester, MA. The Occupy & Tea Party Movements held a rally for the same exact purpose. The people are starting to WAKE UP! ****



informed of our rights - well connected and dedicated to preserving our rights, we will lose to the monarchy of today, whether it's big banks and corporations that pay for elections to get their personal agendas legislated or the rotten



How can you help Ron Paul?

By Joseph Snook
Ron Paul Campaign Coord.,
Jackson/Klamath County, OR

is the best candidate.
Phone: RonPaul2012.com

Deadlines are fast approaching. For those who have stood in the shadows far too long, those who have lost sight of principal, the "my vote doesn't count type" - I can't begin to explain how many phone calls, emails, online polls, even mainstream media reports I have seen from people who were once one of the above defined who have finally taken a stand, a political stance that one says, "we owe to ourselves and the integrity of our patriotism to support a true leader and fight to regain our country."

One candidate has enacted this response. One candidate has stood firm on his principals. One man who factually has more support from our Youth, our Military and in my opinion, The United States of America's greatest freedom loving patriots. This man is Ron Paul.

Here are a few things that you can do that promote Freedom, Peace and Prosperity. This is what the Ron Paul Campaign must have from all of us to win.

1. Campaigning contributions - Ron Paul doesn't have the establishment support, therefore we are called upon for his success.
2. Volunteer - You do count - The Campaign needs YOU.
3. PHONE FROM HOME - You can help, join the phone from home program to help educate others on why Ron Paul

4. Become a Precinct Committee Person - This is the first step for caucus state residents to do more than vote.

5. Become a Delegate - This is what will get Ron Paul elected!

6. Contact the Campaign - This will educate you best on how to help.

7. Merchandise - Purchase and redistribute Ron Paul Campaign merchandise. Seeing is believing.

8. ONLINE SUPPORT - Post this or other reports that give others options to help. One example would be through social media.

9. Take ONE DAY - Dedicate some time. One day a week, or month to help promote Ron Paul in any way possible.

10. Stay Motivated - August is when our next candidate will be determined. Make our Delegates COUNT!

Go to
RONPAUL2012.com
Logon and get started!

Headquarters Phone:
(Toll-Free): (855)-886-9779
Store Phone: (979)-297-5414

Mailing address:
Ron Paul 2012 Presidential
Campaign Committee
8000 Forbes Place, Suite 200
Springfield, VA 22151

Other useful sites:
ronpaul.com
ronpaulor.org ***



Continued from page 8 • The Man Who Would Be King

before the election that he would instead transform America.

Presidents are elected to preserve, protect and defend the constitution. Nowhere in the job description does it mention anything about transforming America. He was elected to do one job and decided instead to do another. TARP was passed to clear the toxic assets out of the banks and restart the system but instead was used to seize controlling interests in AIG, GM and Chrysler and in general assert government ownership over a significant portion of the American economy. The stimulus was passed to re-energize the economy but instead it became a gift bag for the President's supporters and a slush fund for his re-election.

Mr. Obama, with the corporations once known as the major media clearing his way and covering his tracks, compares himself to Lincoln, Teddy Roosevelt, FDR, Reagan and God, ridicules those who cling to the old ways, and decrees that he can rule without Congress because he can't wait. His spending has impoverished future generations and will eventually sink the ship of state. His foreign policy ignores our allies and empowers our enemies. Instead of uniting our country to get out of the hole dug by generations of failed Progressive boondoggles and giveaways he incites class warfare while the welfare rolls expand daily.

Whether we are dealing with one man devoted to personal aggrandizement or merely a teleprompter reading front man for a well-oiled CABAL we are face to face with someone who has been positioned by the generosity of American voters to do irreparable damage to our nation. We have one last chance to save the republic as we have known it. The Republicans who operate as the other half of the party of power seek to nominate another big government operator who promises little more than driving us to the poor house a

little slower with new wars along the way.

What we need is a man who will work as president to re-impose the limits of the constitution. We need a man who will educate Americans as to what a constitutional government is and what it should do and not do. We need a leader who will reverse course and take us back to the days of individual liberty, personal freedom, and economic liberty. We need a leader who isn't afraid to jettison the empire to save the republic. Now is the time for such a leader. If only enough Americans will recognize the signs of the times and rally round the flag they will still call it America.

Just as the Rome of Caligula and Nero still called itself a republic so too in the coming era of Progressive centralization and control will our beloved country still be America. The Constitution will remain on display in Washington. However, in the world turned upside down liberty will be circumscribed by political correctness and freedom will be defined by government regulations. Our schools and media will assure us that we are the most free and prosperous nation on earth while other countries that have gained their freedom pass us by. History as it is taught in America today tries to tell us that socialism works. It doesn't. It leads to a stunted, shabby future where everyone sinks to the level of the lowest common denominator. What we need is a rebirth of republicanism with a small "r" and a big dream.

Keep the faith. Keep the peace. We shall overcome.

Dr. Owens teaches History, Political Science, and Religion for Southside Virginia Community College. He is the Historian of the Future and the author of the 'History of the Future.'

Doctor recommended program for safe, effective, weight loss
Many people who follow this program have reduced or eliminated medications.

Weight loss helps with issues surrounding:

- Diabetes
- High Blood Pressure
- High Cholesterol
- Sleep Apnea
- Acid Reflux
- More Energy

Typical Weight Loss is 2 - 5 lbs per week

Lindsay lost 80 lbs in 6 1/2 months!
(Individual results may vary)

Nathan & Lindsey Wentz - Certified Health Coach
www.ThePowerToLose.com
Wentz@ThePowerToLose.com
541-778-2118

NewsWithViews.com

WHERE REALITY SHATTERS ILLUSION

A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.



The US~Observer
An informed electorate is the foundation of a Free Society!

Head of Operations

Ron Lee

Head of Investigations

Edward Snook

Investigative Reporters

Kelly Stone

John Taft

Curt Chancler

Jeanne Wollman

Paul Kraxberger

Joe Snook

Editor/Investigator

Ron Lee

Subscription Rate:

\$29.50 / 12 issues


\$50.00 / 24 issues

See Page 3 of this Issue

For advertising, please contact the office for rates, or e-mail us: editor@usobserver.com

US~Observer
233 Rogue River Hwy. PMB 387
Grants Pass, OR 97527

541•474•7885



Here at NRA-ILA, we fly in a constant headwind of disinformation. Anti-gun groups generate outlandish claims on a daily basis and the biased media parrot their propaganda without question. We are constantly correcting the lies, misstatements and half-truths that our political opponents strew in our path.

In truth, I don't mind because there is a positive side effect. Anti-gun groups erode their own credibility with every provable lie. By the same token, we build our own credibility every time we disprove an absurd statement made by the enemies of freedom.

What I do mind is when small, self-described "pro-gun" groups constantly sound false alarms about solid pro-gun reform legislation in an effort to raise money. These repeat offenders peddle misinformation as the gospel. They dilute the good work your NRA staff and fellow members do to protect the Second Amendment.

Friendly fire is an all-too-common accident in war, but these misrepresentations are different — they're intentional. I'm especially thinking about the misguided, unfounded and just plain wrong information circulating on the Internet lately regarding H.R. 822 the "National Right-to-Carry Reciprocity Act of 2011," which has just been favorably reported by the U.S. House Judiciary Committee.

H.R. 822 is a good bill. It enhances Americans' right to self-defense by enabling millions of permit holders to exercise their right to self-defense while traveling outside their home states. It would allow any person with a valid state-issued concealed firearm permit to carry a concealed firearm in any state that issues concealed firearm permits, or that does not prohibit the carrying of concealed firearms for lawful purposes.

H.R. 822 would not create a federal

registration or licensing system, nor would it establish a minimum federal standard for permits. Rather, it would simply require states to recognize each others' carry permits, just as they recognize carry permits held by armored car guards or driver's licenses held by average citizens.

But myths about the bill continue to be generated by these self-proclaimed "gun rights" supporters who have no active lobbying presence in Congress or any state legislature.

One myth suggests that H.R. 822 would involve the federal bureaucracy in setting standards for carry permits — such as higher fees, waiting periods, national gun owner registration or worse. In truth, H.R. 822 doesn't authorize any such action by any federal



agency. No federal rules or regulations would be needed to implement H.R. 822, which simply overrides certain state laws.

Another myth holds that H.R. 822 would undercut permitless carry laws such as those in Arizona, Alaska, Vermont and Wyoming. In reality, H.R. 822 would have absolutely no effect on how the permitless carry states' laws work within those states. If you live in Arizona, Alaska or Wyoming, where permits are not required but remain available under state law, H.R. 822 would make your permit valid in all states that issue permits to their own residents in other words, everywhere except Illinois and

the District of Columbia. And if you live in Vermont, where no permits are issued or required, you could still get a nonresident permit from any other state to enjoy the same benefits.

Another myth suggests that when H.R. 822 moves through the legislative process, it will be subject to anti-gun amendments. If we let ourselves be paralyzed by that fear, we could never promote any pro-gun reform legislation anywhere.

Instead, we move ahead to make positive changes for gun owners because we know we can avoid or defeat anti-gun amendments by careful vote counting and strategic use of legislative procedure. This was proven when the House Judiciary Committee considered amendments to H.R. 822. Anti-gun lawmakers offered a blizzard of amendments to weaken or gut the legislation, or just to score political points against Second Amendment supporters. Every one of those amendments was easily defeated.

If you see or hear these myths continue to circulate, you might want to ask the sources why they continue to spread misinformation. (While you're at it, you can ask them what exactly they intend to do with the money they're asking you to send.)

But enough about the myths. Let's talk about the truth: H.R. 822 is solid, positive, pro-gun reform legislation and it's a natural extension of our success in enacting Right-to-Carry laws at the state level. That's why NRA-ILA is strongly supporting it. By the time you read this column, the bill may already have come to the floor of the U.S. House. If so, it will need your help to get through the Senate.

Visit www.NRAILA.org today to see the bill's current status and use the Write Your Reps tool to express your support for this important bill. ★★★

Eric Holder: Ignorance of Mexico Gun Running

GOA - Appropriately, this was written on Groundhog Day.

Because Attorney General Eric Holder has just testified that he spent another year hiding in a hole, oblivious to what was going on in his department or even what was in his inbox.

In testimony before Darrell Issa's Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Holder's defense was -- in the words of one DEMOCRAT -- the "Sergeant Schultz defense": "I know noothing!"

This, notwithstanding the fact that there were no fewer than seven memoranda sent to Holder (as early as July, 2010) briefing him on the Fast and Furious Operation, and the fact that his department was intentionally allowing guns to go across the border to Mexican drug cartels.

Those guns have already resulted in the deaths of over 300 Mexican nationals, in addition to U.S. Border Agent Brian Terry.

Yet, Holder smugly asserted that he didn't have time to read memoranda forwarded to him by

his subordinates detailing criminal conduct by his department under his watch. (Never mind Holder's assertion today that his management style was one that is "hands on.")

Which leads to this question: Could a hedge fund manager escape culpability by arguing that he didn't read letters from his subordinates or attorneys warning him of criminal misconduct?

And another thing: What was Eric Holder doing that was so important that the deaths of 300 people didn't warrant any of his "precious" time?

Let Holder explain to the families of the dead that their lives were trivial because he was so busy promulgating illegal regulations governing multiple gun sales reporting, unlawfully banning shotgun importation, and unconstitutionally justifying non-recess appointments.

Holder protested that questioners were "disrespecting" his office. But Holder has dragged his office and his department into the cesspool. The proper response to him is: "Disrespect? What about 300 murdered Mexicans?" It is time for him to go. ★★★



Atty. Gen. Eric Holder

Newt Gingrich on Guns: A Mixed Record

GOA - Prior to the "Republican Revolution" of 1994, Rep. Newt Gingrich of Georgia had earned an A rating with Gun Owners of America. But that all changed in 1995, after Republicans were swept to power and Gingrich became Speaker of the House.

The Republicans gained the majority, thanks in large part to gun owners outraged by the Clinton gun ban. And upon taking the reins of the House, Speaker Gingrich said famously that, "As long as I am Speaker of this House, no gun control legislation is going to move in committee or on the floor

of this House and there will be no further erosion of their rights."

His promise didn't hold up, however, and his GOA rating quickly dropped to well below the "C-level." In 1996, the Republican-led Congress passed the "gun free school zones act," creating criminal safe zones like Virginia Tech, where the only person armed was a murderous criminal. Speaker Newt Gingrich voted for the bill containing this ban.

The same bill also contained the now infamous Lautenberg gun ban, which lowered the threshold for losing one's Second Amendment rights to a mere misdemeanor. Gun owners could, as a result of this ban, lose their gun

rights forever for non-violent shouting matches that occurred in the home -- and, in many cases, lose their rights without a jury trial.

While a legislator might sometimes vote for a spending bill which contains objectionable amendments, that was clearly NOT

the case with Newt Gingrich in 1996. Speaking on Meet the Press in September of that year, Speaker Gingrich said the Lautenberg gun ban was "a very reasonable position." He even refused to cosponsor a repeal of the gun ban during the next Congress - despite repeated requests to do so.

Also in 1996, Speaker Gingrich cast his vote for an anti-gun terror bill which contained several harmful provisions. For example, one of the versions he supported (in March of that year) contained a DeLauro amendment that would have severely punished gun owners for possessing a laser sighting device while committing an infraction as minor as speeding on a federal reservation. (Not only would this provision have stigmatized laser sights, it would have served as a first step to banning these items.) Another extremely harmful provision was the Schumer amendment to "centralize Federal, State and Local police." ★★★



Newt Gingrich

Articles and Opinions

To the Editor letters for publication are encouraged – they must be typed, a maximum of 1,000 words or less in length. Please submit photographs or artwork. Contact Editor for permission to submit in-depth articles up to 1,750 words, plus graphics. Opposition opinions are welcome.

Always provide a computer disk or E-mail address. Accepted CD, PC or Mac format. Please save all text files in *text only* format.

E-mail

editor@usobserver.com

Please No Faxes they may lead to errors. *US-Observer* reserves the right to edit for clarity and for space requirements.

Opinions expressed in Letters to the Editor, guest editorials and submitted articles represent the opinions of the authors and are not necessarily those of *US-Observer* or its advertisers.

The goal of *US-Observer* is to ensure “due process” and “equal protection under the law.”

Citizens who have founded and support it believe in the Bill of Rights and Article 1, Section 1, of the Oregon Constitution which states:

“We declare that all men, when they form a social compact are equal in right; that all power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority and instituted for their peace, safety, and happiness and they have at all times a right to alter, reform, or abolish the government in such a manner they think proper. This enumeration of rights and privileges shall not be construed to impair or deny others retained by the people.”

Get involved & send YOUR comments or concerns to the Editor
editor@usobserver.com



Butler Trailers
Serving the Utility and Construction Industries proudly since 1968!

With plants in:
Randleman, NC & Orofino, ID
336•674•7804
208•476•5662

Continued from page 2 • Oklahoma Conspiracy?

appear that it (the lease) was mine, and of course it wasn't", Parker said. "My son signed the leases. I'm an advisor to my son but I didn't do any bidding."

Asked about the matter by reporter Ellis, Kuhlman initially told The Oklahoman that James Parker had done the bidding. After being informed about James Parker's statement however, Kuhlman said he couldn't remember whether James Parker or Young had actually raised their hands. CF David, editor of The Boise City News in Boise City, OK, was present at the 2005 public auction and said it was his recollection that Roy Young, CRR ranch manager, did the actual bidding.

James Parker said documents he received also show that Tim W. Barnes, president of the First State Bank of Boise City, talked to the IRS about him and filed "15 suspicious activity reports" stemming from wire transfers made from a Belize bank account to his son's ranch corporation. Barnes told the IRS that 36 million concerned him because Belize was "on the US State Department's list of major money laundering countries," James Parker said. "I've never met the man - never had an account with him" the elder Parker said. He contends the wire transfers were legitimate loans to his son's ranch corporation from the foreign corporation that James Parker served as a general manager.

The US Observer, having investigated many tax cases, wishes to inform the public, the IRS does its own investigative work and have much broader powers in their gathering of information than a private investigator. The IRS also does not use retired IRS agents to do their work. Special agents are appointed for these cases, of which they have plenty. The hiring of private investigators and retired IRS agents to gather information on people is clearly the work of allegedly corrupted individuals conspiring in their attempt to ruin or "run someone out" by hook or crook.

It is clear to the US Observer, that both state officials and other individuals have communicated regularly with the IRS regarding CRR and the senior Parker's taxes from the outset of this case and some have conspired to single Parker out for prosecution at the hands of the Internal Revenue Service and illegally appropriate his son's ranch property.

On September 8, 2011, case no: CJ-2011-5, Attorney Reggie Whitten, in answering the suit filed against him by CRR in Cimarron County for trespassing revealed his complicity to single the senior Parker out for prosecution by the IRS. On page 10 of Whitten's argument to gain ownership of CRR property in Judge Ronald Kincannon's court, he describes the Parkers as frauds, liars and desperate people who should receive federal prison sentences for their behavior in regard to their IRS problems and that ownership of CRR property should be granted to him forthwith, no further arguments being necessary. Whitten had been following the senior Parker's tax case and reportedly had full knowledge of it since he had allegedly helped create it and used this information for his personal gain to obtain ownership of CRR property, when it had no relevance whatsoever to the case at hand that he was involved in as a defendant for trespassing.

The senior Parker is not an owner or officer of CRR and is not a party to the CRR - Whitten Newman suit and should not have been mentioned at all. This was simply another attempt to smear Parker's name and influence Judge Kincannon's decision in the case against CRR - Kincannon granted ownership of CRR to Whitten on February 8, 2012.

In Whitten's request for discovery regarding CRR's trespass suit against him filed in

Cimarron County August 1, 2011, case no: CJ-2011-5, records show Whitten asks for detailed financial information on the senior Parker. In an 11 page request, Whitten asks for detailed accounting of every bank account of Parker in the world, all assets, all corporations, all accounting for all corporations and detailed financial information on Parker personally, over a period of years. Most of Whitten's request in discovery focused on financial information on the senior Parker and not on CRR, the plaintiff in the case.

The Argument

This case is simply litigation over titled ownership of CRR real property and has nothing to do with anyone's financials, much less Parkers' as he was not even a party to the suit. So the question is: where was Whitten intending to go with the detailed financial information he had hoped to obtain from the senior Parker? We believe it safe to say, Whitten's next stop would have been a meeting with IRS agent Lisa Giovinnelli. This would have furthered his alleged role in this conspiracy to destroy and bury Parker at the hands of the IRS.

The US Observer would like to know how many meetings and communications have already taken place between state officials, attorneys and judges, including Judge Gray and Judge Kincannon and agents of the Internal Revenue Service regarding this case? We are just seeing the tip of the iceberg. The US Observer believes IRS agent Lisa Giovinnelli has not only interviewed state officials and others in this case, but has used the government's power to influence decisions being made in this case to stack the deck against CRR and the Parkers. The IRS, being federally funded, thus relying on revenue-generating convictions, operate as a law unto themselves under the philosophy, "the means justify the ends."

We should note that Assistant Secretary Keith Kuhlman, Auditor Gary Jones, CFO Karen Johnson, Attorney Reggie Whitten and others have refused to return our calls.

Prosecution for the violation of any tax code is intended to be a process applied evenly and fairly across the board to any violators. In this case however, a ring of allegedly corrupted individuals have reportedly worked together to single out Parker for destruction at the hands of the IRS. Having allegedly done so, they have not only allegedly broken the law but have severely tainted the Parker tax case for prosecution. These wrong intentioned individuals working together, outside the IRS, but with the IRS, have corrupted this case.

No one should be singled out for criminal prosecution using a Federal agency to do so just because someone wants to "run them out" or destroy them.

For Federal Prosecutors to proceed in their prosecution of this case would constitute a disregard for ethics and possible prosecutorial misconduct. This tax case, tainted by corruption and discrimination, demands it be thrown out of court in the garbage out of which it originated and those responsible for it exposed and prosecuted for their wrongdoing.

The US-Observer has published two articles regarding the Cimarron River Ranch issue at www.usobserver.com. These articles include the bulk of the history on this case and I would prompt our readership to not only read the articles, but also the blog comments at the end of each article.

Editor's Note: Anyone with information on this case or the players involved are urged to contact Edward Snook at 541-474-7885 or by email to ed@usobserver.com.

Continued from page 3 • Thieves Steal Jury Trial Right

County their constitutional right to a "jury trial in all civil cases."

Chancler specifically asked Mr. Rubenstein during the hearing, "Are my constitutional rights guaranteed in these proceedings and are they being observed or are they not?" Mr. Rubenstein thought for a moment, then said, "I would say they are guaranteed and are being preserved."

With hesitation and obvious thought, Mr. Rubenstein then denied Chancler his right to a jury trial and ruled from the bench that he did not need to hear from Chancler's witnesses or hear any further testimony from Mr. Chancler about how long he had been in business at that location, because he believed Chancler was there since Feb 3, 1972. This my friends is pure insanity and Rubenstein at this juncture documented the fact that he is a pathetic liar as well as a thief.

At Chancler's hearing, code enforcement officer Jason Zanni was actually Chancler's prosecutor and there were three armed Jackson County Sheriff Deputies present, as an obvious show of force. Is it any wonder that bad people in government always seek protection from law enforcement when they are stealing from people.

There were several times during the video-taped 1-hour hearing where you can see Development Services Director Kelly Madding standing next to Jason Zanni helping him with his prosecution. Just off camera, Ms. Madding had a half a dozen of the planning department staff helping the prosecution. Keep in mind, Rubenstein was an eye witness to this charade, as was Commissioner John Rachor, who sat in the audience.

At the same time Senior Assistant County Counsel Teresa Campbell was up and down giving documents to Ms. Madding. She was testifying and making legal arguments for the county, while attacking Chancler.

Rubenstein then committed outright extortion in this writer's view and told Chancler that he had to pay the \$1,190.00 application fee in order to comply with Jackson County's Ordinance that eliminated Chancler's grand-father rights. Chancler stated, "That's not going to happen, so you'll just have to find me guilty and fine me."

Mr. Rubenstein ended the hearing saying he would make his ruling and give Chancler his order at a later date. On the 6th of December Mr. Rubenstein found Chancler guilty and fined him \$600.00. Rubenstein also ordered Chancler to immediately cease doing business at his property, located at property at 6865 Table Rock Road.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

After some pressuring of Jackson County Commissioners at commissioner meetings in mid-January 2012, CW Smith instructed county counsel Ryan Kirchoff, to explain to Chancler why the county felt it had the authority to deny the people of Jackson County their constitutionally guaranteed right to a jury trial in all civil cases and place them in Jackson County's administrative tribunal.

Starting on January 27, 2012, Jackson County counsel Ryan Kirchoff, through a series of emails, explained to Chancler that the legal basis in that regard derives from Jackson County's home-rule status under the Oregon Constitution - Article VI section 10.

Mr. Kirchoff stated, "Home-rule status authorizes the county to establish a forum, separate from circuit or justice court's, in which to adjudicate violations of county law. Such a forum as the Oregon Supreme Court explained in *Caffey v Lane County* (1984) may be one in which violations of county law are adjudicated by a county hearings officer."

We studied the verbiage in Article VI section 10 of the Oregon Constitution and the Oregon Supreme Court's explanation in *Caffey v Lane County*. We also looked at ORS 3.130 and ORS 203.810, which were the governing statutes and realized that there is no limit to the Constitutional Fraud that these oath-violating, unconscionable bureaucrats are willing to aspire to, in an attempt to steal constitutional rights away from the people of Jackson County.

These modern day con-artists have turned black into white and night into

day using their deceitful lawyer-language, and they desperately need to go back and review the Supreme Court case of *Marbury vs Madison*, which clearly holds that **any law that is repugnant to the Constitution is null and void**.

Commissioner John Rachor has used the analogy: If we were to have given Chancler a jury trial, we would have to provide the same for everyone that runs a stop sign. Rachor's statement proves three things.

(1) Commissioner John Rachor and all who share his ridiculous, yet dangerous thinking on this subject, have violated their solemn oath of office – this would include each and every thief who took part in the Chancler case.

(2) A false charge of running a stop sign is **exactly** why the Constitutions were written, in order to protect those who were violated at the hands of King George, his Code Enforcement Officers and his "Star Chamber Judges (Hearings Officers)."

(3) Absolutely none of us are safe when those in government can create unconstitutional laws or rules, enabling them to steal our rights that are recorded in plain, simple and easy to understand English...

Anytime a public official denies anyone a jury trial, in any civil case, he is in violation of the oath he/she swore, which was to uphold and defend the U.S. and Oregon Constitutions, and therefore he/she should be impeached and removed from office as quickly as possible - with no exceptions!

The answer to all of America's problems has always been the same, and that answer lies within her people and the gift given to all Americans by our founding fathers and God himself – the gift of freedom, insured by our Constitution(s) that gives all who love freedom the ability to defend and demand that liberty.

In closing this all-important article, I would ask my readership one simple question... If someone called you a thief publicly and it wasn't true, would you sit back and take it or would you sue that person for slander/libel? What would you do if you knew that each and every morning when you awaken, the information about you being called a thief is being spread all throughout the internet for the entire world to read?

Let me state it plainly. Each and every person who had anything whatsoever to do with stealing Curt Chancler's business, his constitutional right to a jury trial, is factually nothing more than a coward and thief, operating under the *color* of law, and that my friends, is repugnant.

Editor's Note: Jackson County's unaccountable and completely out of control commissioners have given Jackson County Administrator Danny Jordan a 5% raise. Jordan is now making well in excess of \$200,000.00 per-year.

Why in the world would Jackson County citizens stand for this? In light of the financial struggle that a majority of constituents are experiencing today, it is way beyond me how they continue to put up with this absolute lack of representation. Don't Jackson County citizens realize they are paying Jordan \$200K to do the very job that they are over-paying their commissioners to do? Do business owners in Jackson County realize that the very same abuse that Curt Chancler has experienced could happen to them?

And, how in the hell can the crooked county personnel and officials I am writing about, look at themselves in the mirror or face their own families or constituents?

Don't miss our next edition where we will get into the commissioners wages and perks, the house the county bought for Jordan and much more... We will expose the history of the unconstitutional actions, and dictatorial revenue-generating schemes that Jordan and his cohorts have created and that three spineless and corrupted county commissioners publicly endorse.

As we go to press, Curt Chancler is serving Jackson County with a Tort Claim Notice and plans to file suit in the near future. Any of our readership who are as irate as we are over Jackson County government violating Curt Chancler's civil rights should call Jackson County Commissioners at 541-774-6116 and let them know it. ***

Continued from page 3 • Prosecuting the Innocent - Florida Style

- WHY DOES THIS FALSE PROSECUTION PERSIST?

WHO ARE THE ACCUSERS?

Cliff and Clint Killingsworth own Pest.com and they held ownership in Get Better Referrals. That fact in itself makes any dispute within the company legally a civil matter and not a criminal matter. Both Clifford F. and Clinton W. Killingsworth's criminal records on the Escambia County Clerks website show they were previously arrested on a charge of Racketeering. They are the main witnesses for the prosecution in this case. The US-Observer's investigation of the Killingsworth's has uncovered that they have repeatedly attempted to destroy and incarcerate partners of the Comfort Club and Get Better Referrals. They have obvious ulterior motives in this case – motives that strongly suggest they attempted to use the criminal justice system to destroy certain owners of the Comfort Club and Get Better Referrals. The bottom line is the Killingsworths signed a valid contract with Jimmy Rodgers, in which they agreed to pay \$300,000.00 for part-ownership of Get Better Referrals. They only paid \$220,000.00, they have failed to pay the \$80,000.00 balance and they are working closely with Prosecutor John DuBose in an attempt to send innocent people to prison.

As for the other alleged victims in this case – Each and every one signed a legally valid and binding contract to become "Venders." Three of the four alleged Vender/Victims received their investment back when they started complaining and the fourth was about to receive his back when the defendants were falsely arrested in this case. According to Edward Snook, "Prosecutor John DuBose is not only conducting a false and malicious prosecution, this unaccountable prosecutor is verging on insanity."

WHAT PROSECUTOR JOHN DUBOSE DOESN'T WANT YOU TO KNOW

John DuBose filed motions with the court to have several critical pieces of information withheld from jurors if this case goes to trial. He asked that the fact the Killingsworth's had been arrested previously for Racketeering be kept from the jury. He asked that the judge order all parties be forbidden to suggest that this is a civil matter and not criminal. He also asked that the judge order that it not be told to jurors that some working partners of the company were never arrested, thus implicating selective prosecution. This action on the part of DuBose is factually a corrupt act that has absolutely nothing to do with justice and everything to do with a conspiracy.

According to US-Observer sources, John DuBose and his immediate boss Russ Edgar, have both made alleged threatening statements regarding, "coming after Edward Snook and the US-Observer." According to Edward Snook, "This is exactly like DuBose's subtle threats he holds over Jeanne Weyburn's head. In my opinion both of these unethical

guys are complete, spineless cowards and I would love nothing better than to get them in a courtroom and expose them on the record. They might get away with threatening ladies, but they will find the results of threatening the press to be a much different ball-game...

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTER EDWARD SNOOK'S DEPOSITION – FEBRUARY 24, 2012

During the deposition of Edward Snook, Prosecutor John DuBose appeared to not know most of the pertinent facts of this case. He seemed to get frustrated when Snook informed him that Jeanne Weyburn and Pamela Fanning were actually partners in the Comfort Club. DuBose kept trying to ask Snook who received money for the Comfort Club, etc., and Snook kept telling him this was irrelevant and that the fact was, the alleged victims had signed legal and binding contracts produced by Attorney's, that the business was completely legit and that there were no crimes committed, much less any civil liabilities.

Now, the bombshell. During the last portion of Snook's ninety-minute deposition, DuBose was so rattled that he reportedly started handing Pepper Rodger's attorney, Scott Tatum notes which contained questions for him to ask Snook. The questions had nothing to do with Tatum preparing a defense for his client and everything to do with Tatum or DuBose or both attempting to get testimony on the record about Pepper Rodgers taping his phone calls, which could possibly be a crime in the State of Florida. It also had everything

to do with Scott Tatum attempting to impeach or discredit Edward Snook and the US-Observer. According to Edward Snook, "This is absolute Prosecutorial Misconduct and Tatum should be disbarred for his actions. Tatum clearly appeared to be attempting to discredit me even when he knew that I had concluded his client was innocent and that I was doing everything possible to ensure that Pepper Rodgers receives justice. The disloyalty that Tatum showed towards his client Pepper Rodgers was unbelievable"

Snook continued – "This absolute conspiring between DuBose and Tatum actually took place during a Nelson Hearing months ago, wherein Pepper tried to fire Tatum because he wasn't representing him properly. DuBose stood up for Tatum, even when Rodgers testified that Tatum had attempted to get him to wrongfully accuse other owners in order to help himself. Corrupted attorney's like Scott Tatum and John DuBose are the very reason that I do what I do, why I have spent my life fighting these despicable human beings." Snook concluded that he has no doubt that Tatum tried to coerce Pepper after hearing about the note passing and that he has never seen more blatant corruption in his life.

Editor's Note: Governor Rick Scott and Attorney General Pam Bondi have been apprised of this false prosecution and at some point they will take on the very same liabilities as Eddins, Edgar and especially John DuBose, should they fail to end this false prosecution.

If you have any information regarding Bill Eddins, Russ Edgar, John DuBose, Cliff and Clint Killingsworth or anyone else involved, please contact the US-Observer immediately at 541-474-7885 or by e-mailing editor@usobserver.com. ★★★

ULTIMATELY RESPONSIBLE



Atty General Pam Bondi



FL Governor Rick Scott

Continued from page 1 • Abuse of a 73 Year-Old War Vet

involvement.

Continuing struggles between Steve and Louis caused great strain on their mother, Doris, who was also a shareholder. Doris decided that she wanted to gift her shares to family and it was later confirmed that her other son Frank would accept her shares, with the approval of all shareholders.

Next, there was a discrepancy on exactly how many shares Frank would receive, although according to statements, all shareholders had at least \$2500.00 worth of shares – giving each of them a deciding vote on any decision made on behalf of the family corporation. Steve reportedly didn't agree, as evidenced by him allegedly doing things without the knowledge and consent of the rest of the shareholders. This caused more problems that eventually led to more attorney involvement.

At this point, Doris, who was living with her son Steve, was allegedly kicked out of his home and went to live with Louis. According to statements from other family members, Steve became increasingly difficult to deal with at this point and had "stirred the pot for many years." Dual restraining orders between Louis and Steve Polinsky were issued not too long after, and the legal fight over Polinsky Recreation Inc. continued.

On July 29, 2009, after years of ongoing legal battles, Louis initiated legal advice from Attorney Trevor L. Uffelman, resulting in a formal letter requesting that all restraining orders between Louis, Steve and his family to be mutually dropped, so the brothers could try and handle their disagreements amongst themselves – reasonably. The letter was drafted and sent to Attorney Del M. Post (Steve's Attorney). It was reported that Louis thought the restraining orders were mutually dropped shortly after. Louis stated, "following the letter being sent, there was contact between myself and Steve on five separate occasions where authorities had to be contacted and nothing was done, furthering my belief that the protective orders were no longer valid."

Attempting to resolve disagreements from a disputed court ordered mediation, Louis went to Steve's residence on December 13, 2010 to communicate with Steve and settle their disagreements. Upon making contact with Steve, Louis states that he was told, "communicate with my attorney." According to Louis, this was "a big part of the problem." Louie reportedly tried to calm Steve down and make amends, but it was too late according to Louis. Louis stated that Steve became enraged and attempted to stab him in the chest with an electric drill during a verbal altercation. Steve's wife called the police and after a lengthy discussion with police officers, the two brothers exited Steve's shop. Louis was arrested for violation of a protective order, even though he was under the impression that the protective orders were lifted months prior.

With "nothing to hide," Louis complied with police officers and agreed to an interview with detectives regarding the incident. Frustrated, because of the events that took

place that day, coupled with many years of legal battles over the property that was once Louis' – and ongoing frustration from Louis on behalf of the rest of his family, who were also reportedly upset with Steve, Louis made statements to the police that were "one officers reported version to another officer of what I said, and were grossly misunderstood or changed."

HONESTY AND OPENNESS CAN BE DANGEROUS

Louis was charged shortly after with Aggravated Burglary and Violation of a Protective Order. Having never dealt with the criminal justice system, and not fully understanding his rights, Louis left his fate with his Attorney, Lance Jasper. The facts of this case were simple. Louis had made an attempt to work out issues with Steve in good faith, to reasonably settle their issues and to resume their relationship as a family. It is very important to note that Louis had NEVER been in trouble with the law during his seventy-three



Louis Polinsky during his service.

years of life and he had the rest of his family on his side. Little did he know that he was facing ten years in prison for his alleged actions. Scared, tired, financially-drained and ignorant of how our judicial system works, Louis entrusted his attorney – which led to a plea deal that was totally misrepresented to Louis. Witnesses describe it as something totally different than what his attorney had stated to him prior to his accepting it. Louis went to court on November 30, 2011, with the understanding that after a three to five year deferred sentence, of which only half would be served, he would be able to expunge his record and have his full rights reinstated. Now, Louis is taking the offensive, fully knowing what he was told, and deceived of, he is taking his matter public. Statements from numerous witnesses have been collected and evidence that could reverse his conviction is being put together. Louis is in the legal process of withdrawing his plea bargain.

Editor's Note: The US-Observer is conducting a thorough investigation into this case and will be reporting on the outcome of Louis' continuing legal battle over his property and the "excessive punishment" that he received at the hands of the judge in this case. Louis has been ordered to wear a GPS monitoring device for the duration of his eight year sentence and the permanent loss of his gun rights – The judge had no legal right whatsoever to take the gun rights permanently, because under Montana law, those rights are fully restored when a person's sentence is complete.

Further, Louis Polinsky receives around \$800.00 per-month Social Security income and is required to pay just under \$400.00 per-month for the GPS monitoring. Cruel and Unusual Punishment? You be the judge...

If you have any information regarding Steve Polinsky or anyone involved in this case, please contact Joseph Snook at joe@usobserver.com or call 541-226-8235.

★★★

ALL YOUR CONSTRUCTION NEEDS

Tile and Hardwood Floors
Exterior Siding
Remodels
Handyman Work
New Additions
Decks

GUGLIEMMETTI
Definitive
CONSTRUCTION

(541)761-8781 CCB # 187369

RUSSCO GUNS PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

Russco Guns

GUNSMITHING
CLEANING
RE-BLUEING
AIRSOFT TECH

(541) 474-2357 1600 Southeast M Street
Grants Pass, OR 97526

mike's Copy and Printing
www.mikescopy.net

Digital Copies
Quality Offset
High Speed Copies
Digital Layout Design
Scanning Services
Bindery Services
Fax Services
Color Copies
Lamination
Folding
Posters / Banners
Mailing Services

We can print direct from your disk, thumb drive or e-mail in Black & White or Color giving you the best quality digital print!

Ph: (541) 779-5473
Fax: (541) 779-3496
E-mail: mail@mikescopy.net

REZOLUTIONS START HERE!

\$12

2012

getfit now nitrofit

FITNESS * FUN * FREINDS

144 S.W. G ST. GRANTS PASS, OR. 97526
CALL TODAY FOR MEMBERSHIP 541-441-2398

NitroFit

Continued from page 1 • The Elephant In The JoCo Courthouse

owe and now they wish to renege on monies due to the 18 Western Oregon O&C Counties. The result of not paying this debt is that there will be a \$12 million deficit. JoCo Public safety will be the first of the large government agencies to be clobbered, in the county. Other sectors of county government will soon feel the pinch in funding; this has already taken place in the Health Department and Animal Shelter. Without a transfusion, JoCo County government will soon become a wraith.

PROPERTY TAX

Oregon's senators and congressmen are busy scurrying, thither and yon, in an attempt to find federal money to give to the counties to stave off possible social disorder. Others are ringing the alarm bells and proposing a three year property tax levy of \$2.05 per thousand of property value. The median price of a home is \$250,000. This would add another \$500 dollars to the tax bill. A figure, most homeowners would not care to dance with.

RADICAL ENVIRONMENTALISM

One of the causes of the county's financial problems is the radical left environmental movement. They have locked up federally owned land though laws and court rulings, which amounts to, 2/3rds of the 1,639.67 square miles of land in Josephine County.

Josephine County is rich in timber and has a good supply of minerals and ores that could be mined. The county was founded as a gold mining settlement in 1851. These resources would promote economic activity and prosperity. The State of Oregon has put into place land-use laws essentially stealing private property rights. It's said that Oregon has the strictest land use laws in the nation. The radical environmental groups from Eugene, north along the Cascade Mountains, to the Columbia River, then over to the Pacific Ocean, a small land area, but containing the states population centers, controls the entire state with their land use regulations. Liberals in this area of the state rule as dictators for the rest of the State of Oregon.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCAM

The following proposal is the scam of the year. Even a Republican has taken the bait and is promoting the idea. Representatives Greg Walden (R-OR), Peter DeFazio (D-OR), and Kurt Schrader (D-OR) are working on a bill that would split the existing O&C lands, locking up half of the land forever, and the other half would be harvested for timber. The timber sale proceeds would be divided between the counties and the federal government. The State of Oregon will be the administrator of the lands. In Josephine County the land proposed to be locked up is 557.5 square miles. This

land would be given over to the philosophy of radical environmentalism. If this were to happen, the environmentalists would soon be after the productive half of the O&C land open to timber harvesting. The radical environmentalists have a long track record of delaying timber sales and locking up timber harvests in court. These are people that can't be trusted to do what is best for our county. However, the bill is still only a proposal and the Josephine Co. Republican Party, is opposed to the concept of sharing the timber lands.

WHAT ABOUT THE ELEPHANT?

The next problem is the elephant in the courthouse. Public officials and the news media have carefully tiptoed around this problem for years. This elephant is expensive, and has an insatiable appetite for more than peanuts. Why have a long line of county commissioners consistently ignored the elephant? The reason is simple, because the elephant in the courthouse is the influential County Public Employee Unions and commissions appear to be fearful of the unions. Public employee union's members receive excellent salaries, lavish health care benefits, and a very generous PER's plan. Their salaries and packages run up the cost of county government for taxpayers, far beyond a reasonable price, for the product delivered.

DECERTIFY THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEE UNIONS

No money - no job. So what can be done? The answer is for the public employees, who are members of the unions, to decertify their unions. The benefit for public employees is that they may get to keep their jobs as opposed to losing their job when funds run out. Without a levy approval by voters mass layoffs will occur. No money - no job.

TIE DECERTIFICATION AND PROPERTY TAXES TOGETHER

The possibility of the Feds kicking in \$5.7 million for JoCo may or may not come to pass. Even if the money does arrive, it will still be millions of dollars short of present JoCo budget spending. The only place these funds can come from is a property tax. Educated voters know that the radical environmental movement and public employee unions are the curse of the free market place, freedom, and a Constitutional Republic. They are a negative force representing the left and socialism. They can only be eliminated by positive action. Tie these two groups together when voting on increased taxes and you will then come to a correct decision when marking your ballot. This is the way to remove the elephant in the courthouse (public employee unions). The era of big expensive government and powerful unions may very well be coming to an end in Josephine County, brought about by lack of money. ***



Peter DeFazio (D-OR) and Greg Walden (R-OR)

Report: PETA kills more than 95% of pets in its care

By Alexandra Myers The Daily Caller

Documents published online this month show that People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, an organization known for its uncompromising animal-rights positions, killed more than 95 percent of the pets in its care in 2011.

The documents, obtained from the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, were published online by the Center for Consumer Freedom, a non-profit organization that runs online campaigns targeting groups that antagonize food producers.

Fifteen years' worth of similar records show that since 1998 PETA has killed more than 27,000 animals at its headquarters in Norfolk, VA.

In a February 16 statement, the Center said PETA killed 1,911 cats and dogs last year, finding homes for only 24 pets.

"PETA hasn't slowed down its slaughterhouse operation," said Rick Berman, CCF's executive director. "It appears PETA is more concerned with funding its media and advertising antics than finding suitable homes for these dogs and cats."

In a statement, Berman added that PETA has a \$37 million dollar annual budget.

His organization runs PETAkillsAnimals.com, which reports that in 2010 a resident of Virginia called PETA and asked if there was an animal shelter at the group's headquarters. PETA responded that there was not.

The Virginian, the website reports,

then called his state's agriculture department. Dr. Daniel Kovich investigated, and conducted an inspection of PETA's headquarters.

"The facility does not contain sufficient animal enclosures to routinely house the number of animals annually reported as taken into custody," Kovich concluded in his report.

Kovich also determined that PETA employees kill 84 percent of the animals in their custody within 24 hours of receiving them.

"[PETA's] primary purpose," Kovich wrote, "is not to find permanent adoptive homes for animals."

PETA media liaison Jane Dollinger told The Daily Caller in an email that "most of the animals we take in are society's rejects; aggressive, on death's door, or somehow unadoptable."

Dollinger did not dispute her organization's sky-high euthanasia rate, but insisted PETA only kills dogs and cats because of "injury, illness, age, aggression, or because no good homes exist for them."

PETA's own history, however, shows that this has not always been the case.

In 2005, two PETA employees described as "adorable" and "perfect" some of the dogs and cats they killed in the back of a PETA-owned van. The two were arrested after police witnessed them tossing the animals' dead bodies into a North Carolina dumpster.

PETA had no comment when the Daily Caller asked what sort of effort it routinely makes to find adoptive homes for animals in its care.



Table titled '2004-2007 PETA shelter kill rate:' showing columns for Year, Received, Adopted, Killed, Transferred, % Killed, and % Adopted.

Advertisement for 'WAKE UP AMERICA SOUTHERN OREGON' featuring 'LIVE OAK GRANGE, 120 GARDINER ST., ROGUE RIVER AT 6:30 P.M. WUASO.COM 541-855-1575' and 'MEETING EVERY OTHER TUESDAY SEE SCHEDULE BELOW'.

Why the Upside Down Flag? What kind of Group is this?

For those who don't know, the United States Flag flown upside down signifies "distress". This symbolizes why Wake Up America Southern Oregon was originally formed - we believe our way of life, everything this country was founded to be, is in distress.

Our Government today, is anything but something to be proud of, and Wake Up America has taken the responsibility of doing what our elected officials haven't been for far too long. Realizing that we the people are the government, we must get off our asses and band together.

Our members study what every elected politician takes an oath to uphold and defend, but only few know; the United States Constitution. We are comprised of County Commissioners, Police Officers, Realtors, Self Employed Business Owners and even a few un-employed. We come from all walks of life, we are Southern Oregon residents,

residents who want our rights back that have been stripped from us.

We deal with local issues, because local issues are what we can realistically resolve. We take on anything from supporting local businesses to stopping unconstitutional threats against our freedoms. If we don't do something to preserve our freedoms, they will become long forgotten by our children.

If Wake Up America is Anti-Government, then so is every elected politician. Simply put, we want the ability to buy food from the Growers Market, we want to reap the benefits of our natural resources so we aren't reliant on handouts with "strings attached" from the federal government. We believe that our future rests in the hands of - US.

Come join us, see what we're about and get involved today! Help your community and support our children's future. Caution: We support our Constitution, and that includes the First Amendment, so if you're easily offended and can't handle someone's right to voice their opinion, we might not be the "politically correct" group for you.

Advertisement for 'CHANDLER' heavy machinery parts, featuring 'DC PARTS Inc.' and 'NORTH WEST PARTS & EQUIPMENT'.

Calendar for 'Wake Up America' showing dates for January through December.

THESE PEOPLE ARE NO LONGER VICTIMS...

JAMES FAIRE

VICTIM: LAND USE VIOLATION STATUS: DISMISSED



"THEY SAVED MY PROPERTY AND ACCOMPLISHED WHAT OUR ATTORNEY COULDN'T, AT MUCH LESS EXPENSE."

DEAN MUCHOW

CHARGE: GOVERNMENT ABUSE STATUS: CLEARED



"YOUR INVESTIGATIVE REPORTING WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN STOPPING THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S ABUSIVE ATTACKS."

LINDA OGDEN

VICTIM: FRAUD STATUS: COMPENSATED



"YOU PUBLICLY EXPOSED THE REAL ESTATE COMPANY THAT CHEATED ME, FORCING THEM TO PAY ME FOR MY DAMAGES - THANK YOU SO MUCH US-OBSERVER."

NEIL BUTLER

CHARGE: MULTIPLE FELONIES STATUS: DISMISSED & COMPENSATED



"THEY WERE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR HAVING MY FALSE FELONY CHARGES DISMISSED."

CHRIS JAROSZ

VICTIM: FETTERED STATUS: FULL CUSTODY



"I HAVE CUSTODY OF MY DAUGHTER NOW, THANK YOU."

STEVE ANDREWS

CHARGE: FELONY SEX ABUSE STATUS: INNOCENT



"I WOULD BE ANOTHER INNOCENT IN PRISON TODAY IF THE US-OBSERVER HADN'T SAVED ME."

DON'T BE A VICTIM, GET YOUR FALSE CHARGES DROPPED

WWW.USOBSERVER.COM

ARE YOU FACING FALSE CRIMINAL CHARGES? ARE YOU A VICTIM OF A FALSE PROSECUTION?

If you are facing false charges and a prosecution then you are aware of how the 'justice' industry (racket) in America works. You (the innocent person) have been falsely charged with a crime. Most of the time you receive a myriad of stacked charges intended for the sole purpose of extracting a "plea bargain" from you.

You then rush to an attorney, pay him a huge retainer to cover the usual \$175.00 per hour (if not higher), which he/she charges, to supposedly defend your innocence. The attorney usually files some motions, writes some worthless letters and makes many unproductive (unless they pertain to you accepting a plea bargain) phone calls until you are broke. Generally you haven't even started your trial and 99% of the time the attorney hasn't

you are flat broke and incarcerated. You find that the very person (your attorney) you frantically rushed to retain, became your worst enemy.

There is only one way to remedy a false prosecution: Investigate the accusers, the prosecutors, the detectives and then watch the judge very carefully. In other words, complete an in-depth investigation before you are prosecuted and then take the facts into the public arena.

The US~Observer newspaper will not waste your time or your money. This is not a game, it's your life and your freedom. We do not make deals. If you are innocent, then nobody has the right to steal what belongs to you, most of all, your liberty. Nobody! That includes your attorney - as well as your supposed public

WELCOME TO THE LARGEST RACKET IN HISTORY: THE AMERICAN JUSTICE SYSTEM

completed any investigation.

All of a sudden your attorney is telling you that you can't win your case and you should accept the benevolent plea bargain that the almighty district attorney has offered you. "Do you want to take the chance on spending 30-40 years in prison when you can plea bargain for 18 months," your attorney tells you. What happened to: "I think we can win this case, it's a good case." Remember? Isn't that pretty close to what your attorney told you as he/she was relieving you of your money?

You then accept a plea bargain and go to jail or you have a jury trial, you're found guilty (because your attorney hasn't produced enough evidence-if any and because the judge directs the jury to find you guilty) and then you go to jail. When you finally wake up you realize that on top of now being a criminal,

servants.

Why have a bad day when it's still possible to force justice ... right down their throats?

The US~Observer investigates cases for news and therefore we don't print that which can't be resolved. We want to win, just as you want to prove your innocence.

Do not contact us if you are in any way guilty and for justice sake, don't wait until they slam the door behind you before contacting us if you are innocent.

"One false prosecution is one too many and any act of immunity is simply a government condoned crime."

-- Edward Snook, US~Observer

CONTACT US~OBSERVER AT: (541) 474-7885