

.

Grants Pass Public Safety

CASE# 2018-00003556

POLICE CASE REPORT

101 NW A Street Grants Pass OR

	REPORTED DATE/TIME	OCCURRED INCIDENT TYPE	
Ę	02/21/2018 08:00	DHS Referral	
Ē	OCCURRED FROM DATE/TIME	OCCURRED THRU DATE/TIME	LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE
Ы	02/21/2018 08:00	02/21/2018 08:00	500 SE L ST A Grants Pass, OR

	STATLITE/DESCRIPTION	COUNTS	ATTEMPT/COMMIT
OFFENSES			
OFF			

	Adult Mentioned			and the second second	NAME (LAST, FRST, MODLE SUFFIX) Anderson, Bryce N.Z.					
H.	XOB AGE OF AGE RANGE ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, S			Y STATE, ZIP)						
	DOB 12/19/1999 RACE White				-					
μ ά	RACE		SEX		HBGHT or R/	ANGE WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE		
SU	White		Male		6'4	191	Blond	Blue		
	DL NUMBER/STATE		PRIMARY PI	HONE Cellular P	none PHONE #2		PHONE #3			
	A461022 / OR									

	JACKET/SUBJECT TYPE	JACKETISUBJĘCT TYPE JUVENILE			NAME (LAST, FRST, MODLE SUFFIX)					
	Mentioned			ROGERS, K	AITLYN DIXIE					
F	DOB	AGE or AGE RANGE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, S	TATE, ZP)						
M	DOB 04/03/2003 RACE White									
μ	RACE	RACE SEX			HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAR	EYE		
SU	White		Femal	е	5'3	105	Brown	Brown		
	DL NUMBER/STATE		PRIMARY PHON	^E Home	PHONE #2		PHONE #3			

	Adult Mentioned	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MODULE SUFFIX) TUCKER, BRYAN GIOVANNI					
DOB AGE OF AGE RANGE ADDRESS (STREET, CTY, STATE, ZP)							
IЩ.	08/12/1997						
m	RACE	SEX		HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAR	EYE
SU	White	Male		5'9	130	Brown	Brown
	DL NUMBER/STATE	PRIMARY PHON	E Cellular Phone	PHONE #2		PHONE #3	
	A406923 / OR						

k	02/21/2018
N	02/21/201



1

 \mathbf{r}

Grants Pass Public Safety POLICE CASE REPORT

101 NW A Street Grants Pass OR

CASE# 2018-00003556

ADDITIONAL SUBJECTS

	JACKET SUBJECT TYPE			NAME (LAST, FRST, MDDLE SUFFR) TUCKER, SHAWN ARTHUR					
	Mentioned				WIN ARTHUR	x			
DOB AGE or AGE RANGE ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZP)									
E									
m l	RACE		SEX		HBOHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE	
S S	RACE White		Male		5'11	218	Brown	Brown	
	DL NUMBER/STATE		PRIMARY PHONE	Cellular Phone	PHONE #2		PHONE #3		
	4086656 / OR								

	Other (Officer)	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)							
	Officer (Including Assisting)	(Including Assisting)			STEWART, JENNINGS				
	DOB AGE OF AGE RANGE ADD								
	10	101 NW A ST GRANTS PASS, OR 97526							
6	RACE	SEX		HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE		
SU	White	Male							
	DL NUMBER/STATE PRIMARY PHO		E Business	S PHONE #2 PHONE #3		PHONE #3			
	OR								

	JACKET/SUBJECT TYPE		NAME (LAST, FI	RST, MIDDLE SUFFD	0			
	Other (Officer) Officer (Including Assisting)		WAITE,	JEFF				
H	DOB AGE or AGE RANGE	ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, S	DORESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZP)					
	DOB AGE OF AGE RANGE	101 NW A ST GRANTS PASS, OR 97526						
		SEX	· · · ·		HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAIR	EYE
SC	RACE White	Male						
	DL NUMBER/STATE	PRIMARY PHON	Worl	(PHONE #2		PHONE #3	

	JACKETSUBJECT TYPE	NAME (LAST FRST, MIDDLE SUFFIX)							
	Other (Officer) Officer (Including Assisting)		MORAN, TODD						
		S (STREET, CITY, S	ET, CITY, STATE, ZP)						
	101 NW A ST GRANTS PASS, OR 97526								
6	RACE	SEX			HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAR	EYE	
SU	White Male								
	DL NUMBER/STATE PRIMARY PHONE		Work		PHONE #2		PHONE #3	PHONE #3	

	Other (Officer) Officer (Including Assisting)		HODGE, KYLE	FD()				
ECT	DOB AGE OF AGE RANGE	ADORESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZP) 101 NW A ST GRANTS PASS, OR 97526						
	RACE	SEX		HEIGHT or RANGE	WEIGHT or RANGE	HAR	EYE	
]	White	Male						
	DL NUMBER/STATE	Business	PHONE #2		PHONE #3			

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	REVIEWED BY			
195 Lohrfink	02/21/2018	Waite, Jeff 04/11/2018			
	OF				



POLICE CASE REPORT

CASE# 2018-00003556

101 NW A Street Grants Pass OR ____

185 Pass UR

SUMMARY

Case Status: Closed Case Disposition: Closed

I was assigned to follow-up on a DHS referral regarding an alleged inappropriate relationship between Bryce Anderson (now 18 years-old) and Kaitlyn Rogers (now 15 years-old). This incident is linked to GPDPS Case #'s: 15-44666, 18-7105, and incident # 18-7123 (no report). I conducted an interview with Anderson and determined no crimes have been committed. This report is being forwarded to the Josephine County DA's Office and to DHS for information only.

Kaite's birhtday in this report is 04/03/2003. The date of the report is 02/21/2018. She is 14, not 15-years-old.

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	REVIEWED BY
195 Lohrfink	02/21/2018	Waite, Jeff 04/11/2018



POLICE CASE REPORT

CASE# 2018-00003556

101 NW A Street Grants Pass OR

NARRATIVE

In April of 2017 I completed a lengthy sex offense investigation that started in 2015. The investigation involved multiple sex offenses between then 18 year-old Bryan G. Tucker and 12 year-old Kaitlyn D. Rogers. As a result of the investigation, Bryan Tucker was arrested. Refer to Grants Pass Department of Public Safety Case #: 15-44666 for specific details.

In February of 2018, I learned that Shawn Tucker (Bryan Tucker's father) was following Rogers in an attempt to discredit her regarding his son's criminal case. As per School Resource Officer (SRO) Stewart, Shawn Tucker provided him with photos, video surveillance, social media screen shots, and a typed letter dated December 5, 2017 regarding Rogers being in a relationship with an older boy named Bryce Anderson. Shawn Tucker reported that Rogers was 15 years-old and Anderson was 17 years-old.

Photos include pictures of Rogers' house, vehicles she is associated with, Rogers in a vehicle with Anderson and Rogers at Lupitas restaurant. Video surveillance was obtained from Lupitas by Shawn Tucker to show Rogers kissing Anderson at Lupitas. Shawn Tucker then walks into Lupitas and takes a still picture of Rogers and Anderson together. These photos and video will be logged into evidence. Anderson is now 18 years-old.

Related to the criminal case involving Shawn Tucker's son, age cannot be used as a defense (Case #: 15-44666). Furthermore, I learned from Detective Sergeant Waite that Shawn Tucker came to the GPDPS police department and was warned about stalking by Lieutenant Moran due to his behavior following Rogers around.

Rogers' mother later made a complaint about Shawn Tucker following her daughter around and being concerned about her safety (Case #: 18-7105 and 18-7123). Officer Hodge subsequently took a stalking order report and served Shawn Tucker. Refer to GPDPS Case #: 18-7105 which is linked to 18-7123. Detective Sergeant Waite told me there was a DHS referral regarding Rogers and Anderson alleged relationship under Case #: 18-3556. As a result I conducted an interview with Anderson on February 21, 2018 and the interview was

REPORTING OFFICER 195 Lohrfink		REVERAD BY Waite, Jeff 04/11/2018
OF		



POLICE CASE REPORT

101 NW A Street

CASE 2018-00003556

Grants Pass OR

2010-000000

NARRATIVE (continuation)

recorded. For specific details, refer to the recorded interview.

I advised Anderson the interview room was being recorded. I introduced myself to Anderson and confirmed that he previously spoke to SRO Stewart regarding this incident. Anderson said SRO Stewart called him and asked him a few brief questions. I told Anderson he was free to leave, but due to the potential for something being criminal in nature I told Anderson I was going to read him his rights as per Miranda. I read Anderson his rights as per Miranda and Anderson told me he understood his rights (1136 hours).

I explained to Anderson that I read him his rights because there were some allegations regarding him and Rogers. Anderson nodded in the affirmative. I asked Anderson if he could tell me a little about that. Anderson said he was accused of staying the night at Rogers' residence although he never once spent the night. Anderson said another thing he believed was the issue was the question of if he was involved in a relationship with her (Rogers). Anderson said they were not really in a relationship, but they were very good friends. Anderson said he was pretty much the only friend that Rogers has right now.

Anderson told me that Rogers has been through a lot, so he was there to support her. I asked Anderson how he knew Rogers and he told me he dated her when he was 16 and 17. Anderson admitted they dated for a while and when he turned 18, they decided to break up. I asked Anderson how old she was and he told me she was 14 years-old and he was now 18 years-old. I asked how old they were when they dated. Anderson to me Rogers was 13/14 years-old and he was 16/17 years-old. I told Anderson that if he was 18 and there was more than a three year age range, he could not be involved in a relationship with her (ORS 163.345 - age as defense). Anderson said, "Yeah." I clarified with Anderson that when I say relationship, I was talking about a sexual relationship. Anderson said, "Oh, OK." I asked Anderson if he understood and he told me he did.

I asked Anderson if he was involved in a sexual relationship with Rogers and he told me,

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	REVENED BY
195 Lohrfink	02/21/2018	Waite, Jeff 04/11/2018



POLICE CASE REPORT CASE

101 NW A Street Grants Pass OR CASEF 2018-00003556

NARRATIVE (continuation)

"Not really at all." I asked Anderson, "What do you mean, 'Not really at all?" I told Anderson either a person is involved in a sexual relationship or they are not. Anderson told me there were points of which they got "close", "but nothing ever really happened, we knew better". Anderson told me Rogers' mom was always in the house so they never did anything like that.

I asked Anderson if Rogers told him that she is involved in another criminal case and Anderson said, "Of course." I asked Anderson if he was at the hearing this morning. I was referring to a civil stalking hearing between Rogers and Shawn Tucker. I told Anderson I believed I recognized him from there. I explained there was another boy who was 18 years-old and Rogers was 12 years-old. I told Anderson I was not going to go into the details of that case, but being that Rogers was involved in a case like that - I told Anderson that he did not want to put himself into a compromising situation like that either. I asked Anderson if that would be fair to say and he told me, "That would." Anderson told me that was why they never did anything (meaning sexual) and was why they "cut it off" when he turned 18 years-old.

I asked Anderson specifically if they kissed each other and he told me there was some kissing back when they dated. I asked Anderson when his birthday was and he told me it was December 19th (1999). I told Anderson I believed the whole incident at Lupitas restaurant (when Shawn Tucker took a picture of Anderson and Rogers) took place in January (it was January 8, 2018). I told Anderson I had the video of that incident and they were seen kissing on the video. I asked Anderson if he believed if that was appropriate or not and he said, "No, that's not appropriate."

I asked Anderson if his intent was to have sex with Rogers and Anderson replied, "Never." I confronted Anderson that he said he was "not really" involved in any sex with Rogers, Anderson said, "By that I mean there was kissing, but there was no touching." I asked Anderson if he touched Rogers' breast and he said, "No, there was no contact." I asked Anderson if he touched her genital area and Anderson shook his head no and said, "No." I asked Anderson if Rogers touched his genitals and he said, "No." I asked if they ever exchanged any nude photographs and Anderson said, "No." Anderson told me specifically that

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	REVIEWED BY
195 Lohrfink	02/21/2018	Waite, Jeff 04/11/2018





POLICE CASE REPORT

CASE# 2018-00003556

101 NW A Street Grants Pass OR 2010-000035

NARRATIVE (continuation)

Rogers told him that was part of her case (meaning the criminal case) so they did not.

I confirmed with Anderson that he did not want to be involved in any criminal activity and Anderson said, "No." I then pointed out that Shawn Tucker was following him and Rogers around taking pictures of them. I explained that just because Shawn Tucker was not following them around (related to the stalking incident), that did not mean there could not be a private investigator hired (meaning in an attempt to discredit Rogers). Anderson told me that made sense. I told Anderson that he needed to be very conscious of his actions when he is engaged with other people. I told Anderson he also needed to be very conscious of what was on the Internet, when he talks to Rogers on the Internet, what he puts on social media, and when he is engaged in sending messages back and forth with Rogers. I told Anderson that was all saved and it was "all out there". I clarified that I was counseling him regarding behaviors that could look inappropriate. Anderson said he understood.

Based on Shawn Tucker's letter (refer to evidence), I asked Anderson what the whole deal was with the gentleman talking to his mother (Anderson's mother). Anderson told me he did not know, and was not sure if it was Mr. Tucker (Shawn Tucker) or not. I told Anderson that it was Shawn Tucker. Anderson told me he was not sure of the exact conversation, but knew they exchange words. I asked Anderson if his mother talked to him about it and he told me she did not go into detail about it, but told him she was contacted. I told Anderson that if there was a gap in age, such as a 4 year difference, that would be a criminal offense. I told Anderson that it was my job as a police officer to make sure there was nothing criminal going on.

I explained to Anderson that I did not get involved in this whole incident until I learned of the stalking issue involving Shawn Tucker following Rogers around. Anderson said it was him and Rogers along with some family friends of Rogers that Shaw Tucker was following around. I told Anderson I did not know the specifics of that, I only knew about the incidents from talking with other Officers (SRO Stewart, Officer Hodge, Detective Sergeant Waite, and Lieutenant Moran). I told Anderson that SRO Stewart had some information (the photos, letter and video) from Shawn Tucker and I knew he (Shawn Tucker) was warned about his conduct.

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	REVIEWED BY
195 Lohrfink	02/21/2018	Waite, Jeff 04/11/2018
OF		



POLICE CASE REPORT CAS

101 NW A Street Grants Pass OR CASE# 2018-00003556

NARRATIVE (continuation)

I told Anderson I knew there was also a referral to DHS about his relationship with Rogers. I explained there was a concern about that. I then reviewed Anderson's statements that he was involved in a relationship with Rogers prior to him being 18 where they engaged in kissing. Furthermore, it was evident they engaged in kissing at Lupitas, but his intent was not for the purposes of sexual gratification. Anderson told me, "It's never been." I asked Anderson if this was just because Rogers' mother was around and Anderson clarified that it never really was an interest. I again told Anderson that I was counseling him regarding his conduct now and for the future should there be any such inappropriate behavior that it could be considered criminal in nature. Anderson told me he understood.

I told Anderson that my job was to keep kids safe as well as my job to keep victims safe. I explained to Anderson that it did not matter what Rogers' intent was, it mattered what his intent is or was as the adult. I explained that as the adult, Anderson should know better than to engage in any activity with girls who are younger than himself. Anderson said, "Absolutely." I asked Anderson if he had any questions and he said he was really worried about Rogers and her safety. Anderson stated talking more about Shawn Tucker's behavior. I told Anderson that hopefully then civil stalking order took care of that issue.

I told Anderson that my focus related to him was more to make sure there was no criminal activity and counsel him about his conduct/behavior towards Rogers. I told Anderson that he has to treat everything as if he is being watched (based on public perception). I clarified that if he was doing something that could be perceived as being inappropriate with a younger girl, then he probably should not be doing it. Anderson said, "Right." I told Anderson that if he cared about Rogers and was hanging out with her, but it could be perceived wrong, then he probably should not be doing that. I asked Anderson if he could see what I was saying. Anderson nodded in the affirmative and replied, "Yeah."

I told Anderson that he did not want to get himself mixed up with any criminal charges. I told Anderson that if he cared about Rogers, he would not want to see her situation getting any

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	REVIEWED BY
195 Lohrfink	02/21/2018	Waite, Jeff 04/11/2018
OF		



POLICE CASE REPORT

101 NW A Street Grants Pass OR CASE# 2018-00003556

NARRATIVE (continuation)

worse. I told Anderson that I would honestly keep my distance. I told Anderson if I was walking in his shoes or he was my son that I would tell him to stay away from Rogers. I told Anderson that I was not saying that there are any ill feelings between him and Rogers, but now was probably the best time. I explained to Anderson there was a lot of drama going on and he did not want to get caught up in anything criminal or that could be perceived as being criminal. Anderson told me, "Honestly, I mean I know I'm not doing anything wrong by being friends with her..." Anderson continued to say he was there to support Rogers. I told Anderson I completely understood that, but obviously it was getting perceived wrong. I pointed out to Anderson that he was sitting in a police station (talking to me about it).

I explained to Anderson that if there was something perceived wrong (that he was not involved in) then it was also my job to protect him. Anderson told me that just because something is perceived as being wrong doesn't mean it's wrong. I told him he was exactly right, but I was trying to explain to him the whole big picture. I explained that Rogers was currently involved in a very serious criminal case, and I was not going to go into details, but he was being scrutinized by a lot of people who were making allegations about him and his behavior (trying to say he was engaged in criminal behavior). I explained that Shawn Tucker was trying to discredit Rogers by reporting that they were involved in a relationship (criminal). In other words, indicating they were involved in a sexual relationship. Anderson told me he understood that is what Shawn Tucker would want to be perceived. I told Anderson that he is exactly right and as a result, reports were being made to DHS and that was why I was talking with him.

I told Anderson, from what he explained, nothing appeared to be criminal in nature, but that did not eliminate any of his future conduct to be perceived in the same manner. I told Anderson I was not telling him he could not hang out with Rogers (or support her), but I was merely explaining to him that if I were in his shoes or he was my relative or someone I cared about that it would be best during this time not to have that relationship (where things could be perceived wrong by others). I told Anderson that this subsequently protects Rogers and himself. Anderson nodded in the affirmative.

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	RÉVIEWED BY
195 Lohrfink	02/21/2018	Waite, Jeff 04/11/2018



POLICE CASE REPORT

Grants Pass OR

CASE# 2018-00003556

101 NW A Street

NARRATIVE (continuation)

I told Anderson that I would say the same thing to Rogers, the same thing to Rogers' mother or anyone else who were in a similar situation. I told Anderson it was not worth it, especially because he was being implicated of doing something criminal and someone was attempting to discredit Rogers. I explained that it was not helping either one of them. I told Anderson I was trying to "paint the picture" for him. I asked Anderson if he understood and he told me he did. I asked Anderson if he had any other questions and he did not. I explained to Anderson that I would be completing a report regarding this DHS referral. The interview was subsequently concluded.

As a result this case is being closed. This report will be forwarded to the Josephine County District Attorney's Office and DHS for information only.

Detective John Lohrfink # 49573

Grants Pass DPS

April 11, 2018

REPORTING OFFICER	DATE	REVIEWED BY
195 Lohrfink	02/21/2018	Waite, Jeff 04/11/2018